

DESERET EVENING NEWS.

Our advertisements which are reported to be in the Evening News on our day will also be inserted in the Sunday paper.

Date of advertising omitted upon application.

We do not guarantee issue to any one.

Editor. June 3, 1892.

GENERAL CONFERENCE OF THE Y. M. C. A.

The annual conference of the Young Men's Mutual Improvement Association of the State of Utah will be held in the Assembly Hall and Tabernacle, Salt Lake City, Saturday, Sunday and Monday, June 6th, 7th and 8th, 1892. Meetings will commence each day at 10 a.m. and 3 p.m., the great instrumental and vocal contests occurring on Saturday and Monday evenings.

The General and Local Authorities of the Y. M. C. A. are requested to promote the interests of this conference, so far as they are able, that it may be largely attended and productive of the utmost good.

A general invitation is extended to all the people to present.

The Union Pacific, Denver and Rio Grande, and Utah Central railroads have adopted a special fare for the conference.

WILBUR WOODRUFF,
J. F. SMITH,
Moses TAYLOR,
Genl. Superintendent, Y. M. C. A.
D. P. TYLER.

PRIESTHOOD MEETING POST-poned.

As the General Annual Conference of the Young Men's Mutual Improvement Association of the Church will commence on Saturday, June 4th, 1892, the Priesthood meeting of the Salt Lake Stake of Zion is postponed until the first Saturday in July.

There will be no Ward meetings in the city on Sunday evening, June 5th.

ADAMS M. CANNON,
JESSE E. TAYLOR,
CHARLES W. PENSON,
State Presidency.

FRAGMENTS.

The ranks of the Deserter Hells were increased by three last night. The new recruits were Messrs. Cannon, Cannon and Pennington.

A section of the American Natural Gas company will be held tomorrow for the purpose of announcing their services of gas.

The Bell Lake Gas Co. was indulging in its regular weekly sheet on Main street this afternoon. Five new electric lamps were being used. There were large crowds on the sidewalk.

Parsons presents primarily show on Main street. Cutout electric signs that would be displayed business men say that the work will occupy most of the summer months. The signs will be put up in due time.

Last night the celebration of the twenty-fifth anniversary of the founding of Mr. Marks' school was held in the hall of the First Presbyterian Church. The exercises were varied and interesting and seemed to be a large number of persons who had gathered for the occasion.

The first person to learn of the escape of Charles Maupin from the penitentiary yesterday afternoon, was Deputy Marshal W. G. Gresham. He was enroute to those offices by a man who had seen the prisoner going along the railroad track north of the penitentiary, and who knew him by name. When in the process of his search he came to one of the inmates of the penitentiary, who was holding a gun and shouting to one of the inmates of the "pen," and doctored him to get him to the outside of the building in which he was confined in the brush near the roadside. The deputy and detective started off for Parley's Canyon shortly after six o'clock, having learned that the犯人 was in the vicinity of the canyon.

When in the canyon, the officers were once within a distance of thirty yards of the convict, who on spying them dropped down in the brush and remained motionless. They then crept up, the composure of the inmates being unbroken, and the result of their efforts was to capture the fugitive.

While in the brush, the officers shot him, and he was captured.

On PLEASURE RIDE.

A violent Diabetic Arthur Stephen is about to take a well-earned holiday, and expects to extricate himself from the legal difficulties of the few days.

Mr. Stephen has lately been performing some very hard labor in connection with the office of deputy prosecuting attorney.

"ALERTED?" FOR SOMEBODY.

Several of the deputy marshals were skirmishing around the city this morning serving subpoenas.

ON A HUMOROUS NOTE.

In case of George E. Fletcher, we are told, he is to be allowed to go to trial.

He is to recover \$400.00 and interest.

A promissory note, was being tried in Commissioner Pratt's court at noon today.

A Correction.

Editor: Deseret News:

In one of the city papers I observed an erroneous statement regarding the return of colonists to Mexico. It is based on "Mark Morris' Return to Mexico," and dated May 24, 1892, from El Paso, Texas.

Another Mexican colony has recently been granted a large concession in the State of Chihuahua.

The concession consists of 100,000 acres of fine land, long within range of the Rio Grande.

A delegation of Mormon leaders went through to Utah last night, and as soon as the crops in the valley have been gathered, this year they say, our families will be moved to the new colony.

Public sympathy at Lincoln, says Meeker, Waukon and Stanton, is almost unanimous in favor of the colonists, and are doing all in their power to have a special session of the court ordered by the Governor of the State. Otherwise there can be no hearing of the case.

With regard to the man who is regarded as a dangerous character with the opposite sex.

In Police Circles.

Arthur W. Keeley is registered at the police station as a plain drunk. In addition to that, he comes impudently to me with cruelty to animals. He will be allowed the privilege of giving an explanation before Justice reads this notice.

J. K. Keckie is in custody via an charge of larceny.

John W. Hollingshead basket maker is awaiting arraignment for drunkenness and disturbing the peace.

In some part or other of the world horses, cattle and sheep are found wild, but it is asserted, nowhere can be found wild camels.

THIRD DISTRICT COURT

Motion Board, Clerk Justice
Zane This Evening.

Clerk Justice Davis set this morning passed judgment against priest and Board several motions which were wholly devoid of points interest. The day however, one of unusual dullness in legal circles.

THE DRUG STORE.

James Johnson was sued guilty on Wednesday afternoon of stealing a bottle of opium for narcotics.

To reply to the court, the defendant said he was a teacher, and had resided in this Territory for nearly six years, most of the time in Salt Lake. He had never been in trouble until this affair happened.

Judge Zane imposed the lightest sentence allowed by law—six year in the penitentiary.

ROUNDTOP COUNCILS.

William Hartman vs. Wm. J. Harvey et al. This was a motion for foreclosure of certain mortgages and new form a large volume of talk among the several attorneys engaged in the several trials, and the amount of retuning information. Testimony of doctors, and there are nine, or ten parties in the suit. A large number of issues were raised in the course of the trial, and were advised, it is said, by the grand Sachem to take up sufficient points good for thirty days.

JUDGEMENT RENDERED.

William Stenckart et al. vs. A. F. Siquier et al. In this case the defendant was withdrawn and attorney appointed. The defendant was entitled to compensation for \$100.00, by consent of the parties.

TESTIMONY TO ANSWER.

F. D. Clark vs. U. W. Veltch. The defendant in complaint was withdrawn and ten days were allowed to answer.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

A DEFENSE ARGUED.

In the case of Henry Dimonay et al. vs. Salt Lake county, the defendant in the complaint was argued by County Attorney Wm. Murphy for the defense and Wm. C. Coffey for the plaintiff. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Henry Dimonay et al. vs. Salt Lake county, the defendant in the complaint was argued by County Attorney Wm. Murphy for the defense and Wm. C. Coffey for the plaintiff. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

A DEFENSE ARGUED.

In the case of Henry Dimonay et al. vs. Salt Lake county, the defendant in the complaint was argued by County Attorney Wm. Murphy for the defense and Wm. C. Coffey for the plaintiff. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

Two hundred families is innocent and untrustful. I feel that this entire would be a good country to live in.

EDWARD STRAWHORN.

P. S.—Mexico is a good country and climate, but it has its drawbacks.

THE O. O. P. "DISKY BOARERS."

They, too, Depart for the National Republican Convention at Memphis.

THE DRUG STORE.

James Johnson was sued guilty on Wednesday afternoon of stealing a bottle of opium for narcotics.

Clerk Justice Davis set this morning passed judgment against priest and Board several motions which were wholly devoid of points interest. The day however, one of unusual dullness in legal circles.

THE DRUG STORE.

James Johnson was sued guilty on Wednesday afternoon of stealing a bottle of opium for narcotics.

To reply to the court, the defendant said he was a teacher, and had resided in this Territory for nearly six years, most of the time in Salt Lake. He had never been in trouble until this affair happened.

Judge Zane imposed the lightest sentence allowed by law—six year in the penitentiary.

ROUNDTOP COUNCILS.

William Hartman vs. Wm. J. Harvey et al. This was a motion for foreclosure of certain mortgages and new form a large volume of talk among the several attorneys engaged in the several trials, and the amount of retuning information. Testimony of doctors, and there are nine, or ten parties in the suit. A large number of issues were raised in the course of the trial, and were advised, it is said, by the grand Sachem to take up sufficient points good for thirty days.

JUDGEMENT RENDERED.

William Stenckart et al. vs. A. F. Siquier et al. In this case the defendant was withdrawn and attorney appointed. The defendant was entitled to compensation for \$100.00, by consent of the parties.

TESTIMONY TO ANSWER.

F. D. Clark vs. U. W. Veltch. The defendant in complaint was withdrawn and ten days were allowed to answer.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

A DEFENSE ARGUED.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

This was a good trial for the court, except that it was not as good as it could have been.

EDWARD STRAWHORN.

P. S.—Mexico is a good country and climate, but it has its drawbacks.

THE O. O. P. "DISKY BOARERS."

They, too, Depart for the National Republican Convention at Memphis.

THE DRUG STORE.

James Johnson was sued guilty on Wednesday afternoon of stealing a bottle of opium for narcotics.

Clerk Justice Davis set this morning passed judgment against priest and Board several motions which were wholly devoid of points interest.

THE DRUG STORE.

James Johnson was sued guilty on Wednesday afternoon of stealing a bottle of opium for narcotics.

To reply to the court, the defendant said he was a teacher, and had resided in this Territory for nearly six years, most of the time in Salt Lake. He had never been in trouble until this affair happened.

JUDGE ZANE IMPOSED THE LIGHTEST SENTENCE.

William Stenckart et al. vs. A. F. Siquier et al. In this case the defendant was withdrawn and attorney appointed. The defendant was entitled to compensation for \$100.00, by consent of the parties.

TESTIMONY TO ANSWER.

F. D. Clark vs. U. W. Veltch. The defendant in complaint was withdrawn and ten days were allowed to answer.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.

NOTICE TO ANSWER.

In the case of Alvin Gillberg vs. Joseph Smith, motion was made to discharge and dismiss attachment. Stephen & Schreiber for the plaintiff; Marshall & Taylor for the defendant. The court ruled in favor of the defendant, and the hearing was postponed till June 11th.