EVENING NEWS. AT TOUR O'CLOCK. DAVID O. CALDES. EDITE AND PUR BRER. July 1, 1875.

NEWS OF THE DAY.

The jury in the Brecher Til-ton trial have disagreed, standing cleven for and one against Beecher. —Colonel Carling, paymaster at Ft. Sanders, cut his throat last

Orleans against the pert of Key West.

Further particulars will be found in to-day's telegrams of the alleged couspiracy against Beecher.

-----Forty-five thousand dollars damage by fire at Boston. ------Between three and four hun-Between three and four hun-dred employees have been dis-charged from the U. S. Treasury Department.

Mayor Clarke was shot a

Baltimore, yesterday,

----Fifty emigrants have been severely wounded by an accident on the Detroit and Milwaukee rail-

tory. Dates & Co., of Terento, Canada, have failed, and several more failures are reported in London.

-The American riflemen have

gained more victories in contests with the Irish riflemen. -The Pope has sent four theu-sand dollars to help the people of France, reduced to poverty by the overflow of the Garonne.

-The mutineer of the Jefferson Borden, has commenced the voyage to the United States, in charge of an officer. The Austrian Government has appointed a new representative at Washington.

-The German Emperor has approved the law abrogating three uses of the Constitution.

-----The Belgian Senate has pass-ed the law previously passed by the House, making a penal offence of an offer to commit assassination.

-Several distilleries have been seized at Covington, Ky. The captain of the Irish rifle

ing rains on Tuesday night and moved by testimony? A. It would. Brown, 22 Cal. 671; and Stiles vs. the attendant and subsequent Upon this examination the de- Comstock, 9th Howard 48. The the attendant and subsequent clouds have reduced the tempera-ture a little, and made it more en-durable. New Mork began its heated term suddenly, June 24, with an average for the day, against 77° hast year, the bickest function of the junce store and the store and the store and the store and the junce store and the store and the junce store and the store and the

the highest figure this year on the ing this challenge. The juror says signed for error. An examination day named being 95° at half past three p. m. But the people there in one answer he says he thinks he is of the value alleged, \$6,457, without have an advantage in molet and could reader the inverse the provential as to any least the says he thinks he is the value alleged, \$6,457, without have an advantage in molet and could reader the provential ways and the value alleged, \$6,457, without have an advantage in molet and could reader the provential ways and the value alleged, \$6,457, without have an advantage in molet and the value alleged, \$6,457, without have an advantage in molet and the value alleged at the provential as to any least the provential the provential as to any least the provential the provential as to any least the provential the proventia

genial day breezes to help them. SUPREME COURT DECISION.

The Appeal Case of Conway v. Clin-

Cora Conway 28. eter Clinton, John D. T. McAllister, Chas. Crow and Wm. Hyde.

Appeal from Third District Court. Lowe, Chief Justice, delivered the pinion of the Court.

The plaintiff sued the defendants above named and three others for the malicious destruction of good-and chattels, and verdict and judgment were rendered for plaintif against the above named defend-

ants, who appeal. 1. The challenge by the defend-ants to the array of the petit jury was properly overruled. For aught

that appears the list from which they were drawn was constituted in accordance with the law.

ant was not prejudiced by the ruling, and the assignment of error cannot be sustained. (Mimms vs.

the State, Ohio State reports, 221.) 3. On the examination of Orlan-do Crowell, a juror, he testified upon his coir dire that he was not

of taxable property at the time of making the jury list in the preced-ing August, but had not paid taxes, and did not know that he was as-sessed. The defendant challenged for cause, which was denied. The 163d section of the Practice Act pro-vides that challenges for cause may be taken on the following grounds:

be taken on the following grounds: "1st. A want of any of the quali-

fications prescribed by statute to render a person competent as a juror." By section 4th of the Act of January 21st, 1859, it is provided that "A person is not eligible to serve, and therefore shall not serve

serve, and therefore shall not set * on any graud or petit jury unless * * * he owns taxable property and pays taxes in this Territory." The provision that a person shall be placed upon a witness's testimo-be placed upon a witness's testimo-be placed upon a witness's testimo-

have an advantage in moist and could render an impartial verdict, any words of denial as to any less could render an impartial verdict, any words of denial as to any less yet in the conclusion of his exam-ination he repeats that he had formed an unqualified opinion, and that it would bias his minit unless removed by testimony. To a jurar whose mind is thus freighted with definite opinions of the merits of precise amount alleged without a case, the law justly interposes the right of a challenge. The law intends, and it is the parties' right, to have furces who are impartial and whose minds are not embarrassed with unqualified preconceiv-ed opinions of the case. Nor is it material upon what his opinions are founded, whether upon rumor or fact. It is the unbiased state of Co. was an action of tort in which are founded, whether upon rumor or fact. It is the unbiased state of mind that is requisite, so as to en-able the juror with candor and im-partiality to decide upon the rights of lifigants submitted to his con-sideration. ideration. It is suggested that the defend-ants did not make use of their per-emptory challenges, and as they

might have challenged these jurois ags in the exact sum claimed by peremptorily and did not, the ob-bim is insufficient." There was no jection should be regarded as error in refusing the instruction.

waived, and the error as not preja-dicial. If the doctrine thus stated which we are not satisfied, still it which we are not satisfied, still it The motion for a reduction of the impanelling of the jury of ball in the Tweed case has been withdrawn. --J. K. Luttrell has been nomhad but one left, while two incom- valid law or ordinance. The de had but one left, while two incom-petent jurors were sworn. But it should be further observed that while it appears that the defend-ants used two peremptory challen-ges, it does not affirmatively appear that they did not use more, nor that all their challenges were not exhausted. While two incom-valid law of ordinance. The de-claration of magna charta incor-porated as part of the fundamental law of the fand by the sixth article of amendment to the Constitution, that "no person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law," was clearly violated. Saying wothing of the exhausted. When error appears violated. Saying nothing of the exhausted. When error appears violated. Saying nothing of the upon the record, to avoid its effects resort cannot be had to presump tion, but can only be removed by matter affirmatively shown by the record. We think the challenges were erroneously denied. 5. The plaintiff, being a witness be affected by the crimes or mis-in her own behalf was sched on in her own behalf, was asked on conduct of their owner, and criminross - examination this question: als as well as honest men are en-

"Were you not convicted at this titled to the protection of the law in their rights of person and pro-tion, on this 29th of August, 1872?" perty. An objection to the question was sustained by the Court, which is assigned for error. The fact sought to be elicited, as implied by the question, was immaterial to the 10. It is suggested that the defendants cannot have been preiu-diced by the errors referred to, and at ssue; and had it been material, and cannot ascertain from the rec could only be proved by the pro- ord that the errors are not prejudiduction of the record of conviction. Doubtless, however, the question was asked with a view to disparage the witness and affect her credibil-ity. A just and reasonable latitude may be allowed in cress examina-tion of a witness with a view to disparage the witness and affect her credibil-intervenes it follows that there is prejudice unless the contrary is also shown from the record.

he Court.

We have thus adverted to those cy Family Supplies, where questions presented by the record most likely to be of importance on

GOODS IMPORTERS! And examine new arrivals of Ribbons, New Bright SPECIAL NOTICE! Summer Shades in All Colors, all widths. A full as-

NEW

Having just received a large line of

ADVERTISEMENTS.

I KER BROS.

WHITE GOODS!

Prints, Prints, Prints: Imported by us Direct from the MANUFACTORIES Remnants 65 cents per in EUROPE, we are enabled to offer, at Much Lower yard; Dress Styles, 71 cents Prices than Usual, our Large and Choice Assortment of per yard and upwards, at

MUSLINS AND LINENS!

Embracing Plain and Plaid Organdics, Swiss, French Cambric, Jaconet, Bishops Lawn, Tuck Muslins, Victoria Lawn, Nainsook, Soft Cambric, Tarlatans, Striped Victoria Lawns, Plaid Nainsooks, Check Jaconets, Lace Stripe Lawn, Check and Stripe Nainsooks, Dotted Swiss, Irish Linens, Colored Linens, Colored Linen Lawus, Brown Suiting Linens, Boys' Stripe Linens, Twill Linens, Linen Ducks, Marseilles, Piques and Linen Diapers 2019VAR

To make room for our Fall Importations our HOUSEHOLD GOODS!

have been Reduced in Prices IMMENSELY, they ". for editional and examine the embrace:

White and Colored Toilet Quilts, Linen Sheetings and Pillow-casing; Bleached, Brown and Turkey Damasks and Napkins; Bleached, Brown, and Stripe

BOOTS and SHOES of the celebrated BENEDICT HALL & Co., NEW YORK, Manufacture always kept

NEW ADVERTISEMENTS.

sortment reduced from 25

cents to 20 cents per

at TEASDEL'S.

TEASDEL'S.

TEASDEL'S.

Another arrival of Gents'

Clothing, Boots and Shoes,

etc., etc. Call and ex-

yard.

amine at

CALL

in Stock. Each pair bearing their Stamp is guaranteed. To be purchased

TEASDEL'S

Known by all as the

RELIABLE. STANDARD

HOUSE for Staple and Fan-

appointed a special committee for the purpose of facilitating the ex-hibition of French products at the Philadelphia Centernial

The measles and typhoid fever are making great ravages among the inhabitants of the Fiji

One hundred and twenty-five indies are counting the money in the U. S. Treasury. — The oil works of Ellis & Co., Brooklyn, were burned to-day,

W. F. Leet, of the firm of Leet & Stocking, N. Y., died last

-Contracts have been awarded to W. F. Bushnell, of Evanston, fills, for the construction of nine language." Jurors must therefore have the qualifications thus indilife-saving stations on the Lakes. cated, but they are not exclusive of -- A boy six years old, stolen Pa., has been found and restored to

his parents.

WHO WILL WANT IT?

Ox Saturday, June 26, the late Chief Justice asked and obtained permission, of the Supreme Court of the Territory, to take from the clerk's office any decisions filed therein, for the purpose of gublish-ing a volume of Utah reports. If such permission was grantable

at all, it could hardly, with any courtesy, have been refused to the applicant. But is he doing a protect thing in collating and publishing these reports? Might he not have had sufficient regard for propriety had sufficient regard for propriety for refrain from such an enterprise? For, considering his course on the bench here, and the prejudices and must be able to read the subject of ownership of taxable property is not embraced in the Act is inconsistent thing in the Act is inconsistent in the Act is inconsistent thing in the Act is inconsistent in the Act is incon trustworthy? It is well enough known, and is almost daily bestrated, that while sitting as a judge hereabout, his ralings and decisions were of such a character as to force the almost if not quite irresistible conviction that he stuheard it spoken of.

diously twisted the law and forced its interpretation to suit his own prejudiced and partian purposes. If a man as a judge will twist and misrepresent the law through prejudice and partisan feeling, is he not capable, as a reporter, of twisting

is the owner of taxable property, is express and cannot be disregarded. The qualification must exist at the time he is offered, and it does not satisfy the statute that he had the being tried. Lohman vs. the People, qualification when the jury list was 1 Comstock, 379; G. W. Turnpike Company es. Loomis 32 N. Y., 127. The Court, in its discretion, may prepared. The necessity of this qualification is not obviated by the Act of Congress of June 23, 1874. That Act does not profess to prepermit disparaging questions to be asked, but when they are irrelevant to the issue it is not error to exclude scribe all the qualifications of jurors in this Territory, but only pre-scribes the qualifications of those who shall be placed on the general

to the issue it is not error to exclude them. In Rex vs. Pitcher, 1 Carr & Payne, S5, the English rule is stated to be that "in practice the asking of questions to degrade the witness is regulated by the discre-tion of the learned judge in each particular case." There was no error in excluding the question. list frem which jurors are drawn. It provides that the officers who prepare the list shall "alternately select the name of a male citizen of the United States who has resided in the district for the period of siz

v: but it is well settled that a wit-

6. William Hyde, one of the demonths next preceding, and who can read and write the English fendants, being a witness, was asked by defendants' counsel: "State what motive you had, if any other than to every the writ, in doing the act complained of in this case at other qualifications. If the statute were to be regarded as defining all the requisite qualifications of jurors, it would result in allowing jurors to serve who are in consanguinity with parties, who are debtor or creditor to the parties, or in relation of guardian or ward, or had formed or expressed opinions, or who had is constructed of in this case at No. 41 Commercial street, when you went to execute the writ now in your hands." Also, "State whether at that time you had any ill-will against the plaintiff objected, and the Court sustained the objec-tion. One of the issues of the case other qualifications. If the statute expressed opinions, or who had been convicted of an infamous was the malice of the defendants. crime-all of which are subjects of The witness, as defendant, was challenge by express territorial statute. This cannot for a moment be admitted to be the intent or effect of the act. So far as the Act charged with maliciously and wantonly destroying the goods of the plaintiff. It was incumbent upon the plaintiff to prove, and the right of the defendant to disprove, that the acts were done maliciously. of Congress prescribes a new qualification, or so far as it covers and Where the motive of a party is thus embraces a qualification of the in issue, he may testify to it him-self. If he should say his motives same kind as any contained in the territorial laws, it supersedes and were malicious, it would properly controls the latter. Thus it adds a

McKown vs. Hunter, 30 N. Y., 625; White vs. Tucker, 16 O. State, 468. In the former case Hogeboom, J., giving the opinion of the Court of held to be in force. It results that the Court erred in denying the challenge of Mr. Crowell. 4. Mr. James Lowe was also cal-led as a juror, and being examined as to his qualifications, testified as Appeals, and speaking of several ases previously decided embracing

cases previously declated enforming the same principle, says: "These cases go very far to establish the general principle that where the motive of a witness in performing Plaintiff-Do you know anything about this case? A. I do; I have a particular act or making a particular declaration becomes material Q. From what you have heard, cular declaration becomes material issue in a cause, or reflects import-ant light upon such issue, he may himself be sworn in regard to it, notwithstanding the diminished credit to which his testimony may be entitled as coming from the mouth of an interested witness." We are of the opinion that the questions were proner and that these have you formed or expressed an unqualified opinion? A. I have. Q. Did you hear what purported to be the facts? A. No, I have not; I don't know anything about it only what was spoken of on the streets, and read about in the pa-

you may purchase all you ness is not bound to answer, nor a a re-trial of the cause; and for the court to compel answer to an in errors referred to the judgment is need in one house. The quiry to disgrace a witness unless reversed, the verdict set aside and the evidence is material to the issue the cause remanded for trial de reversed, the verdict set aside and well-known reputation for kind attention to poor and Dissenting Opinion. In the Supreme Court of Utah Territory June Term, 1875. rich alike is proverbial. CORA CONWAY, JETER CLINTON et Remember, al. Appellants. Appeal from Third District Court. Boreman, Justice, delivered the fellow-g opinion dissenting from a majority of TEASDEL'S

EAGLE HOUSE. In the opinion just read, it is held that he Court below committed four errors for which its judgment should be reversed. Two of the errors have reference to **Opposite Salt Lake House.**

challenges to jurymen Crowell and Lowe. The challenge of Lowe was not in my opinion good and the Court committed NOTICE. opinion good and the Court committed no error in overruling it. The juryman had no definite opinion and not such opin-ion as he or any one would act upon in the usual affairs of life. Peoplevs. Rey nolds 16 (Cal. 128. The other challenge (the one to Crowell) may be good. But if we consider both of these challenges good, JOTICE is hereby given to James M. lardie, that the Utah Southern Railroad Company, on the 26th day of June, A. the one to Crowell) may be good. But if we consider both of these challenges good, yot the defendants waived all their objec-tions to these jurymen by not trying to get clear of them by peremptory challenge. The Record does not show that appellants had exhausted their peremptory chal-lenges and until they do this they have no right to complain. Graham & Waterman on New Trials p. 468. Whitaker vs. Uar-ter, 4, Iredell 461. See also Fish vs. the State, 6, No. 426. This is a civil action and a party may waive more than in a crimi-nal case. 1875, filed a petition in the Probate Cour of Salt Lake County, Territory of Ulah the object thereof is to have a certain piece of land belonging to you condemned for Railroad purposes, for said Company; said nearly land so sought to be condemned and appro priated is bounded and described as follows o wit: Beginning at the north-cast corn

nal case. A third error is said to be the refusal of the Court below to allow witness Hyde to be asked in reference to whether he had any malice in destroying the property. I can not see that this refusal was improper. Hyde had admitted that he had done the of lot No. one, block No. eighty, plot A, Sal Lake City survey; thence south (12) rod hence west ten (10) rods, thence north welve (12) rods, thence east ten (10) rods to acts complained of, then if such acts of destruction be not lawful, the law concluthe place of beginning in the County of sively presumes malice. (1 Greenleaf on Evidence \$18 and \$24 and notes.) This being true, Hyde had no right to state that he had no malice. Sa't Lake, Territory of Utab.

Sail petition will be heard, by order e The other and last error referred to, is that the first instruction given on behalf of the respondent was wrong. That in-struction reads as follows: "That the de-fendants Jeter Clinton, John D. T. McAlsaid Court, at 10 o'clock a.m., or day of August, A. D. 1875, at the Count Court House of Salt Lake County, Terri topy of Utah. GEORGE, SWAN, SECRITARY,

Utah Southern R. R. Co.

1240

\$1 50

BARCAINS. New Goods received at Shwartz'

fendants Jeter Clinton, John D. T. McAl-lister, William Hyde and Charles Crow, have admitted by their answers in this case, that they destroyed the property of the plaintiff, and, in order to escape lia-bility therefor, they must show that their acts in destroying it were lawful." The defendants all plead justification, and, to sustain that plea, necessarily ad-imit of the doing of the act complained of. Some of the appellants elsewhere in their answers deny the doing of the acts complained of. Although some kind of justification might be pleaded and would not be inconsistent with this denial, yet this justification cannot stand in connec-New York store, opposite post office **Dress Goods from** Shawls from Ladies' Suits from Granadians from Prints from Gloghams from Brown Bleached Muslin Ladies' and Children's Flan-nel from

Parasols from Corsets from And everything in proportion. Now's your time to save money t SHWARTZ' STORE, 157 Opposite Post Office.

MORTON & TUFTS WHOLESALE AND BETAIL Wines Liquor Dealers







THE BEST GOODS,

In a few days we shall have a

CALIFORNIA FLOUR.

FOR SALE.

A number of Shares of the Capital Stock of the Utah Southern Railroad Com-

pany, on which all assess-ments have been paid.

For particulars, apply to

DAVID O. CALDER, At Deseret News Office.

G. W. DAYPS.

making. Like the No. 6, it will speak its own language, and we solicit from the TAILORS of Salt THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY

Lake an examination of its merite. **ARCHIE McCOWN, Manager.**

TAILORS.



