

THE EVENING NEWS
PUBLISHED DAILY, SUNDAY, AND SATURDAY,
AT FOUR O'CLOCK.

GEORGE Q. CANNON,
BRIGHAM YOUNG,
EDWARD AND PUBLISHERS.

Saturday February 1, 1878.

MUNICIPAL ELECTION.
Monday, Feb. 11, 1878.
PEOPLE'S TICKET.

For Mayor.
FERAMORZ LITTLE.

For Aldermen.
1st Ward, ADAM SPIER.
2d Ward, HENRY DIN COOKE.
3d Ward, A. H. RALEIGH.
4th Ward, JOHN SHAW.
5th Ward, ALEX. C. PARKER.

For Councillors.
W. E. BALL.
ISAAC BROCKBANK.
ELIAS MORRIS.
JAMES W. CUMMING.
JOHN HENRY BYSTU.
JOSEPH F. SMITH.
DAVID O. CALDER.
GEORGE REYNOLDS.
FRANCIS ARMSTRONG.

For Recorder.
JOHN T. CAIN.

For Treasurer.
PAUL A. SCHETTERER.

For Marshal.
ANDREW BURKE.

EDITORIAL NOTICES.

J. J. H. Gregory, of Massachusetts, will send an illustrated catalogue of vegetable and flower seeds free to any applicant.

It contains 52 pages, containing many useful items for farmers and gardeners.

The Sultan has consented to the terms proposed by Russia at the peace preliminaries. But up to the present time the world is kept in ignorance as to what those terms may be.

Russia seems to be playing a smart game with Great Britain.

The latest remedy proposed for the frequent famines in India is irrigation. However, it has been shown that the British Government has expended very large sums for irrigation works in that country, nearly two millions sterling being used last year and about eleven millions during the past five years. And still famine saps the strength.

We learn from the *Journal* that the "Ogden House" property has passed into the hands of the firm of J. E. Dooly & Co., who intend erecting an elegant banking establishment on the corner, and a mercantile house adjoining on the south. This firm enjoys a first class reputation among the commercial men of Ogden, and is composed of shrewd and genial young financiers. The change will be an important improvement to the Junction City.

Ogden City has emerged again from the contagion which caused so much alarm as to necessitate the establishment of quarantine regulations. After the death of two persons who were first at school, the case which occurred we only variofied, and the disease has now disappeared. The board has called the quarantine, and public meetings and schools will be again permitted on and after to-morrow. To a due and caution exhibited by the authorities are highly commendable, and although business necessarily suffered somewhat from the regulations established, the city was probably saved from a calamity similar to that from which it had previously suffered so much. We hope Ogden will now be entirely free from these drawbacks, and will move forward steadily to the important position which may be safely predicted in view of its numerous advantages.

HOME MADE.

The imaginary value of imported goods is one of the popular delusions that do harm to most communities. An article manufactured at home, no matter how far superior it may be to a foreign production, is not considered worth as much, nor appreciated to cash remuneration. Men are frequently deceived giving away for home-made goods, but a good producer to take store of some other articles as equivalents. And customers often pass by a commodity as inferior when labelled home-made, preferring the imported, without any critical examination or comparison of quality.

This is detrimental to the interests of any country or people. In common sense, it seems, would suggest the propriety of patronizing local industries in preference to outside enterprises, particularly the former approached competing with the latter.

Two amusing incidents which occurred a short time ago will perhaps illustrate the subject more forcibly than argument. A Haven gentleman who had been visiting England thought he ought to purchase some articles to bring home, knowing that it would be thought much of as coming abroad. He bought some small rests of a pattern and style he had never seen before, and asked his shopkeeper where they were manufactured. "I don't know where they are made," said the seller, "but I called Meriden, Ct." The article was made just eighteen miles off from the purchaser's residence. A man from New York bought a small article in the same manner at a price of \$1.00. As Paris gave \$1.00 for a similar article, in London she purchased another of the same kind for 75 cents. When she reached New York she found

lots of them in the store windows for 10 cents each. They were "Yankee" notions.

Some people here are just as inconsistent. Would it not be a good thing for this Territory to encourage the manufacture and production of necessities to life and comfort by purchasing them as far as practicable in preference to that which is imported? If you answer in the affirmative, don't forget this when you are spending your money, nor that home-made goods ought to demand cash just as much as those produced in some other place.

DIVIDING A CITY.

CONSIDERABLE feeling seems to have been aroused, in consequence of a petition which has been presented to the Legislature asking that the district lying north of the Ogden river be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The petition was met by a remonstrance denying these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were residing in a farming district, without police protection and other privileges that properly belong to incorporated cities.

The remonstrance denied these allegations, and asserting that much more had been expended on the district proposed to be cut off from the municipality of Ogden. The petition set forth chiefly that the people in the portion of the city named had been paying taxes without benefit, and were