

sought to be extended. And what was then "stupid opposition to sewers in general," will now be "enlightened resistance to an oppressive scheme," and only have reference to a given thing in particular.

All the same, the necessity and desirability of some efficient sanitary system was recognized by all classes of the community, years before the present scheme was concocted, and those who opposed the latter were no more against the former than any other taxpayers in District Number One, and were not to be justly blamed or abused for their protests more than are the present objectors in District Number Two.

EDUCATION SHOULD NOT BE STOLEN.

THE "Liberal" organ of November 12th says:

"The first function of a State should be to provide its children with education which would enable them to command work."

There is a good deal of truth in this statement. As a professed theory of the journal which makes it, the proposition stands out in bold relief as against the practice of Goodwin, Hollister *et al.*, who have applauded the application of a process of robbery to one class of people in this community to procure funds with which to educate another class. Education is a good thing, but it is highly immoral to obtain it at the expense of somebody else. For instance, the robbery of the "Mormon" people in order to educate the children of non-"Mormons," and enable, in that way, the latter "to command work," is highly reprehensible.

The criminal aspect of such a method of obtaining a start in life is intensified by the fact that not a few of the non-"Mormons" object to the process. To be forced into pauperism by the result of the political plottings of enemies to the Republic who boast of having brought about the undemocratic conditions that exist here is galling to a good many of them. They are beginning to see the point and are about ready to resent the humiliating position in which they and their children are liable to be placed by the possible educational situation of the near future. The idea of their youth being educated by the proceeds of a theft from the "Mormon" people is horribly distasteful to every honest non-"Mormon" in the community. The position in which upright people of all

classes is being placed by the disgraceful and traitorous schemes of rabid "Liberal" politicians is being understood and properly estimated as people have time to think and grasp the situation as it is.

MR. APPONYI DISMISSED.

MR. APPONYI, with whom the committee on joint city and county building contracted to supply plans and specifications and superintend the erection of the structure, has been summarily discharged. The alleged reason for this peremptory action was incompetency. The joint committee have been hunting for some time for evidence on which to base an action of dismissal. If the proof is insufficient there has been a gross breach of contract. We have heretofore stated the methods pursued by the City Council and county officials in searching for evidence against the competency of Mr. Apponyi. They may be restated in brief.

Mr. Apponyi's plans and specifications were referred to Mr. Fred A. Hale, architect, of this city, for criticism. He condemned them generally and specifically. On a hearing before the committee Mr. Hale's position was annihilated by Mr. Apponyi.

It then appeared to be necessary to find a critic beyond arm's length of the gentleman of whom the committee appeared determined to rid themselves, that the next criticisms might be fired at him at long range. As a consequence the drawings and specifications were referred to Mr. C. E. Hsley, of St. Louis, Mo. His report indicated that he was an interested party; hence it was sweepingly condemnatory of Mr. Apponyi's work. This appears to have been accepted as gospel by the committee without the person at whom it was directed being given a similar chance at the animadversions of Mr. Hsley, that was accorded him in reference to the report of Mr. Hale.

We do not know whether Mr. Apponyi is or is not competent to fulfil the contract made with him by the city and county committee, but so far as relates to any evidence that has come to the surface, incompetency has not been proved against him. His dismissal appears to have been based on a one-sided process.

It is held that incompetency is not the real cause of the action against Mr. Apponyi. It is a notorious fact that he incurred the

displeasure of the rabid "Liberals" by stating in February last that their placing the stars and stripes under the carpet-bag—the emblem of political corruption—was an indignity to the flag. Also on account of his position in politics since that time, he has been distasteful to the rabidists. But this reported cause of enmity against him appears to drop into the shade when compared with another which has begun to loom up. It is to the effect that there is an intention to smash the project to erect the proposed building on the city hall lot, and to place it in another locality. In other words to make out of it what some of Mr. Goodwin's friends tried to do with the proposed government building for which a congressional appropriation was sought—a boom for local real estate in the interest of speculators. Should such a scheme carry perhaps the ex-candidate will attempt to place the odium of it upon Hon. John T. Calne.

This joint building business is likely to develop some splendid points before the job is completed.

NO THOUGHT OF WOUNDING!

OUR vituperative anti-"Mormon" contemporary puts on an innocent air this morning and, in an injured tone, thus plaintively expresses itself:

"The News has said many vicious things of the *Tribune* in days gone by, but never before did it accuse anyone connected with it of a desire to wound the literary reputation of any child of this old world. The News editor should be sent to a mad-house."

We will let the paper from which the above is quoted supply the evidence as to whether this protestation is real or hypocritical. In its issue of Tuesday, Nov. 4th, occurred this allusion to Mr. Charles Ellis, with some of whose writings the local public is more or less familiar:

"The rotten hearted and despicable literary ruffian."

Of course such a kindly remark as that could hardly be intended to "wound the literary reputation of any child of this old world!" Such remarks are simply intended as compliments to those to whom they are directed.

VIENNA, Nov. 14.—The suicide of a schoolboy, a member of a family of good social position, has caused a sensation here. The boy found great difficulty in mastering the third declension in the Latin grammar and the fact worked upon him that he took his life.