ESTABLISHED JUNE, 1850.

DESERET EVENING NEWS.

THE OLDEST NEWSPAPER IN THE ROCKY MOUNTAINS.

TRUTH AND LIBERTY.

NO. 106. SATURDAY, MARCH 26, 1892. SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TERRITORY. VOL. XXV

ENDED IN A ROW.

Saturday's Session of the Chicago Grand Jury Culminates in a Climax.

NO FURTHER BUSINESS WAS POSSIBLE.

The Guardians of the Law Indulge in Loud Talk, but Avoid Blows.

By Telegraph to the News.

THE BOODLING CASE.

The Grand Jury Breaks up in Disorder.

CHICAGO, March 25.—Today's session of the grand jury investigation of boodling was so uneventful and marked by nothing sensational developments, the rock which caused the split was a question of instructing Secretary Gale of the Jefferson Executive Board, who is wanted as a witness, but has counted himself from the city. The discussion ended in a row. It is reported that a member of the jury was caught making full notes of the testimony of one of the witnesses, and was accused of doing so for surprised purposes.

An adjournment was taken because, under the circumstances, no further business was possible. Another effort will be made tomorrow to carry on the investigation. It has been apparent for several days that differences existed between Foreman Gale's Attorney Longenecker and the co-operating persons conducting the investigations. In a long interview the Chicago Attorney revealed his intention to conduct the inquiry according to his own notions, and not force indictments which in his opinion were unwarranted. Other gentlemen interested in the investigation deemed it advisable to take a course which he has known to be opposed to, and place the investigation in the hands of a special grand jury.

Though much hard talk was indulged in between the members of the jury, it is stated that, at the time of the adjournment was taken tomorrow, or the time being, no further progress was possible. Although two more indictments had been prepared, they were not reported, owing to a lack of unanimity among the jurymen. Another effort will be made tomorrow to carry on the investigation.

BLAND'S PLAN.

A Resolution Fixing a Date for the Final Consideration of the Bill.

WASHINGTON, March 25.—If Mr. Hastings adjourns the House today, a resolution fixing a date for the final consideration of the Bland silver bill will be introduced by Mr. Bland, during the afternoon session of the House, disclosed today by the introduction of a resolution fixing a date for the final vote on the Bland silver bill. It is understood that the bill will be taken up and put upon its passage, accompanying the resolution is a proviso giving the Speaker power to refuse to entertain any dilatory motion. The resolution went to the committee on rules.

In view of the extreme slowness of house on the silver question, as discussed her night, an analysis of the vote on the bill which passed the house by the Bland bill, as the table, is interesting. Of the 154 votes in favor of the motion, 82 were cast by the Democrats and 40 by the Republicans. The negative vote shows 11 Republicans and 132 either Democrats or Alliance. The unexpectedly large showing of Democrats who voted against the silver bill come from the following States: New York 3, Pennsylvania 10, Wisconsin 7, Massachusetts 1, Iowa 3, New Jersey (Colonel Maryland 4 each, Illinois, Connecticut and Michigan 3 each, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Louisiana and Minnesota 2 each, South Carolina 1, (Howley) Delaware 1 (Conaway) West Virginia 1, (Wilson), Florida 1 (Mallory), California 1 (Geary). Of the eleven Republican votes, Vincent A. Taylor (Ohio) was the only one east of the Mississippi River. Kansas contributed two in Broderick and Funston, South Dakota two more (Pickler and Joliff), while the other six votes came from as many different States, Colorado (Towne), Wyoming (Clark), Nevada (Barbee), California (Bowers), Idaho (Swan), Oregon (Hermann). Of the pluralities accompanying votes Kansas with Doliver, Hanford with Elliott, Dorlington with Booker (Mississippi), Morse with Cobb(Illinois), E. Taylor with Ohm, Van Horn with Johnstone (North Carolina), Henderson (Illinois) with Peel, Hook with Compton, W. A. Cook with Ryan (New York). The names of those who voted against the bill, and the other those who would have voted for the measure.

Following is the list of members not name and who failed to vote: Campbell, Wadsworth and Stahlnecker (N. Y.), Freeman, Winn and Swinney (Ill.), Soper (Ind.), Clover (Kan.), Cheatham (N. C.), Donovan (O.), Shell (N. O.), Herbert (Ark.), Leider (Va.), Baker (La.), Enloe (Tenn.), Flower (Ga.), Hatch (Mo.), Lewis (Ky.), Tucker (Va.), Wheeler (Ala.), Wilson (Mo.), Wolverton (N. J.), Hopkins (Ill.), Lawrence (Mass.), Lanham (Tex.), Lester (Ga