## REMARKS.

By President GEO. A. SMITH, delivered in the New Tabernacle, October 8th, 1869.

[REPORTED BY JOHN GRIMSHAW]

It is a difficult undertaking to address | mences speaking loud, in a short time his voice gives out; whereas, if he commence rather low, he may raise his himself in speaking some length of time. hear. I have listened with pleasure to the instructions of our brethren from he "directly the opposite." the commencement of our Conference to has nearly grown from childhood since one wife. the death of the Prophet, Joseph Smith.

Lord your God."

I am a native of Potsdam, St. Lawits learning and the religion and morality

the present day.

truth of the work of the Lord in the good. I have never failed to bear a faithful testimony to the work of God, or to carry out to all intents and purposfulfil the work which he had commenced,—have done according to his designs, time, and are continuing to do so. And I know, furthermore, that he rejoiced in the fact that the law of redemption and generation. Celestial marriage was revealed unto would be out of the power of earth and told He did, we might have expected in the fact that the servants of God were | been that God was pleased with and apready prepared, having the keys to bear | probated and sustained a plurality of off the work he had commenced. Previous to my leaving Potsdam, there was but one man that I ever heard of in that town who did not believe the Bible. he drowned himself.

The Latter-day Saints believe the Society called on me the other day and and thousands upon thousands of people, wanted to know if we would aid the from the highest to the lowest in the Society in circulating the Bible in our Territory? I replied yes, by all means, for it was the book from which we were enabled to set forth our doctrines, and especially the doctrine of serting that plurality of wives is wrong?

plural marriage.

There is an opinion in the breasts of many persons,-who suppose that they believe the Bible,—that Christ, when He came, did away with plural marriage, and that He inaugurated what is termed monogamy; and there are certain arguments and quotations used to maintain (3 chap. 2 vs.), where Paul says: "A Bishops hould be blameless, the husband of one wife." The friends of monogamy be blameless, the husband of but one wife." That would imply that any one but a bishop might have more. But they will say, "we mean-A bishop plurality under some circumstances.

a married man.

this immense audience. If a man com- country, and asked him if the clergy shall pass away." Continuing our invoice by degrees, and be able to sustain it with the Greek clergy? "Well," said | ches of Asia. In them we find the Evan- against wickedness and corruption in But with children crying, a few persons bishop." I replied, "you render the and all manner of iniquities and abom- extortion; against the cruelty exercised whispering, and some shuffling their saying of Paul differently from what we inations of which these churches were by soldiers and tax gatherers. He even feet, it is indeed a difficult task to make | do. We interpret it to mean-a bishop | guilty. Anything against a plurality of | was so bold as to rebuke the king on his an audience of ten thousand persons should be blameless, the husband of one wives? No; not a syllable. Yet those throne, to his, face for, adultery. Did he

the present time. I have rejoiced in a man should have but one wife. It it had been wrong, what would have ticed this order of marriage, under the their testimonies. I have felt that the only proves that a bishop should be a been the result? Why, John would have law of Moses that God had revealed. Elders are improving in wisdom, in married man. The same remark is made | denounced the practice, the same as the knowledge, in power and in understand- of deacons, that they also should have children of Israel were denounced for we cannot help saying that God knew ing; and I rejoice in the privilege, which | wives. Another passage is brought up | marrying heathen wives, had it not been | what was best for His people. Hence we have at the present day, of sending where the Savior speaks of divorce. He that the law of plurality was the com- He commanded them as He would have out to our own country, a few hundred | tells us that it is very wrong to divorce, | mandment of God. of the Elders who have had experience, and that Moses permitted it because of Again, on this point, we can refer to previous to Moses, recognized a plural--who have lived in Israel long enough | the hardness of their (the children of the Prophets of the Old Testament,- | ity of wives. Abraham and Jacob and to know, to feel and to realize the im- Israel) hearts. A man should leave Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel and others. others had a plurality. These are the portance of the work in which they are his father and his mother and cleave When God called those men He warned men who are referred to in scripture as engaged,—to understand its principles unto his wife, and they twain should be them that if they did not deliver the patterns of piety and purity. David had

when I first came to a knowledge of the taken the second, (Rachel) she, being barren, gave a third wife unto her huslast days, that I understood it was in band that she might bear children unto my power to do good for the advance- him for her; and instead of being dismy utmost endeavors to accomplish that another wife, God heard her prayer, blessed her, worked a miracle in her favor, by opening her womb and she bear a son, and called his name Joseph, es, the wishes and designs of the Prophet, rejoicing in God, whom she testified Joseph Smith. I was his kinsman; was | would give her another son. The quesfamiliar with him, though several years | tion now arises-were not Rachel and prophets would have denounced it, marriage were wrong, that He would, his junior; knew his views, his senti- Jacob one flesh? Yes. Leah and Jacob otherwise their silence on the matter under the circumstances, have been ments, his ways, his designs, and many of were also one flesh. Jacob is selected by would have been dangerous to them- silent concerning it or failed to denounce the thoughts of his heart, and I do know the Apostle Paul as a pattern of faith selves, inasmuch as the blood of the it in the most positive manner? Then that the servants of God, the Twelve for Christians to follow; he blessed his Apostles, upon whom he laid the author- | twelve sons, whom he had by four wives. ity to bear off the Kingdom of God, and The law of God, as it existed in those days, and as laid down in this book (the Bible) makes a child born of adultery or in every particular, up to the present of fornication a bastard; and the same is prohibited from entering into the congregation of the Lord unto the tenth

Now, instead of God's blessing Rachel the church in such a manner that it and Jacob and their offspring, as we are hell to destroy it; and that he rejoiced something entirely different, had it not

wives.

While we are considering this subject, we will inquire, did the Savior in any place that we can read of, in the course He proclaimed himself an atheist and of His mission on the earth, denounce a plurality of wives? He lived in a nation of Jews; the law of Moses was in Bible. An agent of the American Bible force, plurality of wives was the custom, land, were polygamists. The Savior denounced adultery; He denounced fornication; He denounced lust; also, divorce; but is there a single sentence as-If so, where is it? Who can find it? Why did he not say it was wrong? "Think not," said He, "that I am come to destroy the law or the Prophets. I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil. Not one jot or one tittle shall pass from the law and the Prophets; but all shall be fulfilled." Of what does the Savior this view of the subject, one of which is speak when He refers to "the law?" found in Paul's first epistle to Timothy | Why, of the Ten Commandments, and | other rules of life commanded by God and adopted by the ancients, and which Bro. Pratt referred to yesterday, showrender it in this way: "A bishop should | ing you from the sacred book that God legislated and made laws for the protection of a plurality of wives, (Exod. 21.10) and that He commanded men to take a

should be blameless, the husband of one Brother Pratt further showed that the of the construction that other people all intents and porposes, the interests of might have more than one. I under- the first wife; and to shield and protect 25 vs. stand it to mean that a bishop must be the children of a wife from disinheritance who might be unfortunate enough A short time ago, the Minister from | not to have the affections of her husband. the King of Greece to the United States (Deut., 21.15.) These things were plainly called on President Young. I inquired written in the law,—that law of which of him in relation to the religion of his | the Savior says "not one jot or one tittle | were allowed to marry. It is generally quiry, we pass on to the epistles of John barren should bear a son. John feared understood that the Roman Catholic | the Evangelist, which we find in the book | not the world, but went forth preaching clergy are not allowed to marry. How is of Revelations, written to the seven chur- in the wilderness of Judea, declaiming he, "all the clergy marry except the gelist denounces adultery, fornication the boldest terms. He preached against wife at least;" and "we construe it" said churches were in a country in which say anything against a plurality of plurality was the custom. Hundreds of wives? No; it cannot be found. Yet Now this passage does not prove that Saints had more wives than one; and if thousands were believers in and prac-

and comprehend the way of life. They one flesh. That is the principal argu- message to the people which He gave many wives. The scripture says that can bear testimony to a generation that ment raised that a man should have but them concerning their sins and iniqui- David did that which was right in the ties that His vengeauceshould restupon eyes of the Lord and turned not aside In the New Testament in various their heads. These are His words to from anything that he commanded him The Lord said in relation to those places, certain eminent men are referred Ezekiel, "Son of man I have made thee all the days of his life, save in the matwho have driven the Saints that He to as patterns of faith, purity, righteous- a watchman unto the house of Israel, ter of Uriah the Hittite, 1 Kings 15 cap. would visit "judgment, wrath and in- ness and piety. For instance if you read | therefore hear the word at my mouth | 5 vs. "I have found David the son of dignation, wailing and anguish, and the epistle of Paul to the Hebrews, the and give them warning from me. When Jesse, a man after mine own heart which gnashing of teeth upon their heads unto 11th chap., you find therein selected I say unto the wicked thou shalt surely shall fulfill all my will. Of this man's the third and fourth generation, so long | those persons "who through faith sub- | die, and thou givest him not warning | seed hath God, according to His promise as they repent not and hate me, saith the | dued kingdoms, wrought righteousness, | nor speakest to warn the wicked from his | raised unto Israel a Savior, Jesus." Acts obtained promises, stopped the mouths wicked way to save his life, the same 13 cap. 22 and 23 vs. Did David sin in of lions, quenched the violence of fire, wicked man shall die in his iniquity but taking so many wives? No. In what, rence County, New York, -a town some- escaped the edge of the sword, out of his blood will I require at thine hand; then, did his sin consist? It was bewhat famous for its literary institutions, weakness were made strong, waxed yet if thou warn the wicked and he turn cause he took the wife of Uriah, the valiant in fight, turning to flight the not from his wickedness nor from his Hittite,—that is, violated the law of God of its inhabitants. I left there in my armies of the aliens;" and it is said by wicked way, he shall die in his iniquity in taking her. The Lord had given him youth, with my father's family, because faith Jacob blessed the two sons of Jos- but thou hast delivered thy soul." (Ezek. the wives of Saul and would have given we had received the Gospel of Jesus eph, and that he conferred upon them a 3.17.18.19.) How do we find these him many more; but he had no right to Christ, as revealed through Joseph | blessing to the uttermost bounds of the | Prophets of the Lord fulfilling the com-Smith; and followed with the Saints everlasting hills." Who was Joseph? mandments of the Almighty? We find he did so the curse of adultery fell upon through their drivings and trials unto Why, Joseph was the son of Rachel. them pouring out denunciations upon his head, and his wives were taken from And who was Rachel? Rachel was the the heads of the people,—against adul- him and given to another. We will I have never seen the occasion, nor second wife of Jacob, a polygamist. tery, fornication and every species of now inquire in relation to the Savior let the opportunity slip, from the time Jacob had four wives; and after he had wickedness. All this, too, in a country himself. From whom did he descend? in which, from the King down to the From the house of David a polygamist; lowest orders of the people, a plurality of wives was practiced. Do they say anything against plurality of wives? Not you will find that numbers of them had ment of this work, but what I have used pleased with her for giving her husband one word. It was only in cases where a plurality of wives. How appropriate men and women took improper licence it would have been for Jesus, descendwith each other, in violation of the holy | ing as he did from a race of polygamists, law of marriage, that they were guilty of sin.

> tion of the seventh commandment those pose, for one moment, if Patriarchal people would, have been required at if plural marriage be adultery and the their hands. The opposers of Celestial offspring spurious, Christ Jesus is not Marriage sometimes quote a passage in the Christ; and we must look for anthe seventh chapter of Romans, second other. and third verses, to show that a plurality of wives is wrong; but when we come to read the passage it shows that a plurality of husbands is wrong. You can read the passage for yourselves. In the forcible parable used by the Savior in relafind recorded that the poor man Lazarus was carried to Abraham's bosom-Abraham the father of the faithful. The rich one man, saying, we will eat our own morning star." bread and wear our own apparel, only let | May God enable us to keep His law, branch of the Lord be beautiful and to the tree of life and may enter in glorious and the fruit of the earth shall | through the gate into the city." Amen. be excellent."

A reference to the scriptures shows that wife only." Well, that would also admit Lord made arrangements to protect to the reproach of woman is to be childless, Gen. 30 cap. and 23 vs.; Luke 1 cap. and

> We will now refer to John the Baptist. He came as a fore-runner of Christ. He was a lineal descendant of the house of Levi. His father was a priest. John the Baptist was a child born by miracle, God having revealed to his father that Elizabeth who had been many years

In bringing this subject before you, them act. The law regulating marriage take one who belonged to another. When and if you will trace the names of the families through which he descended to have denounced this institution of plural marriage and shown its sinful-If plurality of wives had been a viola- ness, had it been a sin! Can we sup-

All good Christians are flattering themselves with the hope that they will finally enter the gates of the New Jerusalem. I presume this is the hope of all denominations,—Catholics, Protestants, Greeks and all who believe the Bible. tion to the rich man and Lazarus, we Suppose they go there, what will they find? They will find at the twelve gates twelve angels, and "names written thereon, which are the names of the man calls unto father Abraham to send | twelve tribes of the children of Israel." Lazarus, who is afar off. Who was The names of the twelve sons of Jacob, Abraham? He was a man who had a the polygamist. Can a monogamist plurality of wives. And yet all good enter there? "And the walls of the city Christians, even pious church deacons, had twelve foundations, and in them expect when they die to go to Abraham's | the names of the twelve apostles of the bosom. I am sorry to say, however, that Lamb;" and at the gates the names of thousands of them will be disappointed, the twelve tribes of Israel,—from the from the fact that they cannot and will twelve sons of the four wives of Jacob. not go where any one has a plurality of Those who denounce Patriarchal marwives; and I am convinced that Abraham | riage will have to stay without and never will not turn out his own wives to receive | walk the golden streets. And any man such unbelievers in God's law. One or woman that lifts his or her voice to peculiarity of this parable is the answer | proclaim against a plurality of wives of Abraham to the application of the under the Government of God, will have rich man, to send Lazarus to his five to seek an inheritance outside of that brothers "lest they come into this place city. For "there shall in no wise enter of torment," which was-"they have into it, anything that defileth, neither Moses and the prophets, let them hear whatsoever worketh abomination or them; and if they hear not Moses and maketh a lie, for without are sorcerers, the prophets neither would they be per- | whoremongers, and whosever loveth suaded though one rose from the dead." and maketh a lie." Is not the man that Moses' law provided for a plurality of denounces Celestial Marriage a liar? wives and the prophets observed that Does he not work abomination? "I law, and Isaiah predicts its observance | Jesus have sent mine Angel to testify even down to the latter days. Isaiah, unto you these things in the churches. in his 4th chap, and 1st and 2nd verses, I am the root and offspring of (the posays "seven women shall take hold of lygamist) David, the bright and the

us be called by thy name to take away for "blessed are they that do His comour reproach. In that day shall the mandments, that they may have right