### DESERET EVENING NEWS FRIDAY MARCH 8 1907

If we set a precedent of this kind, there is no telling how many protests may be field against any senator who comes here, duly elected, simply for the purpose of getting the compensation which may be awarded to the protest-

which may be awarded to the protest ant. Mr. Molaurin-A frivolous protest would be treated as a frivolous suit or as a frivolous prosecution in court would be treated. The prosecutor would be taxed with the costs. Mr. Daniel-Was the money that it is proposed to reimburse to these people the money of those by whom it was ex-rended?

the money of those by whom it was ox-pended? Mr. McLaurin-I do not know that the senator from Utah paid out of his own pocket his expenses. I do not know that the Mormon Church did not pay them; I do not know anything about that; but I know if it is just to pay the expenses of the protestee it is just to pay the expenses of the protestanis. Mr. Daniel-The senator does not know that they had any? That is the question I asked him. Mr. McLaurin-They have already paid-----Mr. Daniel-Seven thousand five hun-

Mr. Daniel-Seven thousand five hun-dred dollars, I believe, Mr. McLaurin-It is said that they paid \$7,500 to the lawyers, Mr. Daniel-Are there any other ex-vorage?

Mr. McLaurin-I did not ask whether

Mr. McLaurin--I did not ask whether there were any other expenses. This amendment does not propose to pay the lawyers. It proposes to refund to the protesting women the money they paid to the lawyers. Mr. Daniel--The lawyer, then, has been paid, according to his contract? Mr. McLaurin-Certainly. Mr. Daniel--And you propose to pay him a bonus? Mr. McLaurin--No. sir: I do not pro-

him a bonus? Mr. McLaurin-No. sir; I do not pro-pose to pay the lawyer. Mr. Daniel--Who is to be paid Mr. McLaurin-It is to reinburse those who have paid the lawyer to pro-

those who have paid the lawyer to pro-secute the protest. Mr. Daniel—Who paid for their own legal expenses? Mr. McLaurin—Certainly. The com-mittee amendment proposes to reim-burse the protestee for his own legal expenses, and if that is done the prot-cstants should be reimbursed their legal expenses.

Mr. Daniel- Was the \$7,500 gathered up by small contributions? Mr. McLaurin-It was stated by the senator from Idaho to be from orga-

nizations

nizations. Mr. Daniel-Did the organizations gather it by contribution? Mr. McLaurin-I am nor in confidence of the organizations. I do not know about it; but it does not make any dif-ference to me who gathered it, where they gathered it, or how they gathered it. They used it for what they consid-eved a good nurses a just nurses ered a good purpose, a just purpose and a purpose that was moral. Fur-thermore, they were women, and be cause they were women they ought no to be cut out. That is my idea about



5



# Utah and the Mormon Church. Asked who it was who had contributed the

money for the campaign of villification, he said it was the women and the ministers who doubtless could find a way to spend the cash if it were voted to them. The full text of the debate on the question follows: Mr. McLaurin-I offer the following

WANTED TO DIP

INTO TREASURY

Dubois "Pipes Off" Where Money

**Came From With Which to** Make War on Utah.

WOMEN AND THE MINISTERS.

Thought These Should be Reimbursed

So They Could Use it in Additional Slander.

A bit of racy gossip not found in

the dispatches comes from the pages of

the Congressional Record, wherein place is given to the debate on the amendment to the general appropria-

tion bill proposing to partially reimburse Senator Smoot for the losses he

had sustained in defending his title to

his seat. In it Dubois blandly admits

that he wanted a similar amount for

those people who had made war on

amendment, to come in immediately after the word "dollars," line 11, on page 64:

Provided, that the same amount shall be appropriated to defray the expenses of those who prosecuted the protest.

of those who prosecuted the protest. I have just been informed that the women of the country made up \$7,500 to employ attorneys to prosecute the protest. They do not seem to have been able to raise as large an amount by very nearly one-third as was raised by the contestee or protestee; but, I think, if the protestee is to receive \$15,000 to defray his expenses, those who opposed him ought to receive an equal amount for prosecuting the protest, which they did in good faith. Mr. Spooner-The government has paid \$25,000 of the expenses.

Mr. Spooner-Ine government has paid \$25,000 of the expenses. Mr. McLaurin-I did not hear what the senator from Wisconsin said. Mr. Hale-I was just going to say what the senator from Wisconsin has already slad. The United States has already paid more than \$25,000 to these marties

parties. Mr. McLaurin-I cannot hear what

Mr. McLaurin-I cannot hear what the senator from Maine says. Mr. Hale-The United States has al-ready paid for the benefit of the parties who were making this contest, who were the contestants, more than \$25,000. That has already been paid, but noth-ing has been paid to the senator from Utah.

Mr. McLaurin-I will ask the senator

Mr. McLaurin-I will ask the senator if he will yield to me for a question? Mr. Hale--Certainly, Mr. McLaurin-How was the money paid to these protestants? Mr. Hale-I do not know to whom it was paid, but it was paid to cover their expenses in the way of witness fees and traveling expenses of witnesses who were summoned here.

who were summoned here. Mr. McLaurin-I will ask if the same

Mr. McLaurin-I will ask if the same thing was not done for the witnesses for Senator Smoot? Mr. Hale-Not unless they were sum-moned by the committee. Mr. McLaurin-My understanding is that the same thing was done for the witnesses for the protestee and that the United States paid his witnesses. That is my understanding. The Vice President-The chair does not understand that the senator from Maine has interposed a point of order. Mr. Hale-I think it is better to tak? the vote of the senate. I have some doubt about it. doubt about it.

Mr. McLaurin-I should like to know what the point of order is, if it is to be made. The Vice President-The question is

The Vice President—Does the sena-tor from Georgia yield to the senator from North Dakota? Mr. Bacon—I would prefer if the senator will allow me to proceed with my reply, and then I will yield. Mr. McCumber—I merely waited to ask the senator a question. Mr. Bacon—I wish to reply first to the suggestion of the senator from Tennessee. I can not reply to both at once. Then I will yield with pleasure to the senator.

once. Then I will yield with pleasure to the senator. I was proceeding to say when inter-rupted that I would think it unfortun-ate if any action should be taken by the senate at this time which would negative the propriety of the reim-bursement within certain limitations of the parties who made expenditures in the prosecution of the question as to the right of Senator Smoot to re-tain his seat.

in the prosection of the question as to the right of Senator Smoot to re-tain his seat. As I understand it, the government has paid the expenses of witnesses on both sides, which I think was emin-ently proper to be done. I understand further that the appropriation in this bill is to reimburse Senator Smoot for expenditures made by him outside of the matter of procurement of wit-nesses, in the payment of his counsel and other expenses, and I think that is an eminently proper appropriation to be made. I think it also proper, if we knew the amount, to reimburse the parties who we must be assured made expenditures upon the same lines in the prosecution. But in the absence of any information on that subject. I do not think we could properly adopt the amendment offered by the senator from Mississippi.

the amendment offered by the senare, from Mississippi. So the senator from Tennessee and myself are in accord on that matter. My sole purpose was to prevent any action by the senate which would seem to negative the right of these parties at some future time to make known what demands they may have in refer-

what demands they may have in refer-ence to the matter. Mr. Hale-Mr. President---The Vice President---Does the sena-tor from Georgia yield to the senator from Maine? Mr. Bacon-I promised to yield to the senator from North Dakota. Mr. Hale-If the senator is not through, I do not wish to interfere. Mr. Bacon-I promised to yield to that senator that senator. Mr. McCumber-I simply wish to ask the senator a question. Is it not true that all the witnesses have been oald?

Mr. Duboia-If it is given back to them I have no doubi it will be used in good work, in missionary work, and works that they carry on. Mr. Hale-Mr. President, I have al-ready moved to lay the amendment on the realist.

BAKING POWDFR

Made from

Pure Grape Cream of Tartar

The only excuse for buying anything but

a Pure Grape Cream of Tartar Baking

Powder is to save a few cents in price.

GROYAL costs you a few cents more per can than Alum or Phos-

phate of Lime powders, but it is worth far more than the difference

to keep your biscuits, cakes and pastry free from the injurious

Continued use of Alum means permanent injury to health.

Avoid Alum Ailments-Say plainly ROYAL BAKING

POWDER

effects of these cheapening substitutes.

The Vice President-The question is on agreeing to the motion of the sena-tor from Maine to lay the amendment

on the table. The motion was agreed to. Mr. McLaurin-Mr. President, I offer another amendment, and I wish to ad-

dress a few remarks to the senate on it, before anybody else gets the floor. The Vice President—The amendment proposed by the senator from Mississipi will be stated. The Secretary—At the same point in he bill it is proposed to insert the fol-

Mr. McLaurin-Mr. President, in ref-erence to the form of the amendment, I should myself prefer to put it in differ-ent phraseology if I had time, but I did not see this provision in the bill until a few minutes ago, and I have not had an opportunity while this discus-sion has been going on to prepare an amendment which meets in some re-spects my idea of the justice of this case. If I had time to prepare an elab-orate amendment, I should prepare one that probably would be in better phraseology than this. In reference to the suggestion of the senator from Tennessee [Mr. Carmack] that this is a mere voluntary act, I think an act of justice ought always to be voluntary, and I do not think that the senate of the United States or the Congress of the United States ought to wait until demand is made to do an act of justice when it is apparent that it ought to be done. Mr. Carmack-Mr. Presideni-

Mr. Carmack-Mr. President-The Vice President-Dies the senator from Mississippi yield to the senator

Tennessee? Mr. McLaurin-With pleasure. Mr. Carmack-Dics the senator from Mississippi claim that the United States

Mr. McLaurin-if the senator from Georgia or anybody else can draw an amendment that will make it more explicit, I shall take pleasure in accepting

pilcit, I shall take pleasure in accepting such an amendment. Mr. Bacon-Jf the senator from Mis-sissippi will pardon me, I would do so if I knew the names of the parties and the amounts paid by them; but I would not be in favor of paying them any amount larger than was expended by them. I do not know what the amount is nor the parties by whom the expenditure was made, and I do not see how any amendment could be framed which would meet the case. Mr. Tillman-Somebody must receipt for it before they can get the money out of the treasury.

Mr. Thimah-Somebody must receipt for it before they can get the money out of the treasury. Mr. McLaurin-- I believe that by law that is certain which it is capable of being made certain--id certum est quod certum reddi potest. I believe that is one of the legal maxims, and I think that about covers the case. The parties who paid the amount would present their claim, and that amount could be returned to him. It does not seem to me that there is so much trouble about the ascertainment of the ones to whom this money should go, as there is about the willingness to pay the amount to them. Here is \$15,000 to be paid to one of the parties to this contest and \$7,500 is asked for the other parties to the con-test. Because one party----The Vice President--Does the senator from Mississippi yield to the senator

The Vice President-Does the senator from Mississippi yield to the senator from Georgia? Mr. McLaurin-With pleasure. Mr. Clay-I will ask the senator has there been any contest at all? Mr. McLaurin-Then, I will say "pro-test." There has been a protest. Mr. Clay-If the senator will allow me, if somebody else had been a candi-date for United States senator against Senator Smoot and had claimed that he, instead of Senator Smoot, had been elected, though Senator Smoot had re-ceived the certificate of election, and such a contestant had come here and contested the seat of Senator Smoot, then there would have been a precedent for paying both the contestant and the

The Vice President-Does the sena-

lowing proviso:

Provided, That \$7,500 be appropriated to defray the expense of those who prosecuted the protest. Mr. McLaurin-Mr. President, in ref-

on agreeing to the amendment. Mr. Bacon-I ask that the amendment may be read. The Vice President-The amendment

will be again stated.

The Secretary-On page 64, line 11, after the word "dollars," insert:

Provided, that the same amount shall be appropriated to defray the expenses of those who prosecuted th eprotest.

Mr. Bacon-Mr. President, I think there is a good deal in what the sena-tor from Mississippi suggests to the senate in this regard, though I do not think the amendment is in project shape. I think it has been the univer-sal custom in each house when there has been a contested election, which is has been a contested election, which is inalogous to this case, to pay a certain sum, but which is considered not as compensation. I suppose the senator from Maine inadvertently used the word "compensation" when he meant "reimbursement." It is to pay a cer-tain sum of money to reimburse each side for expanses incurred in the con-test. I think it would not be prope" I think it would not be prop test. to adopt an amendment which shou. stipulate that a certain sum equal to that which was paid to reimburse the senator from Utah should be paid to thase who occupied an opposing attitude to him, but I do think it would be proper to pay an amount to reimburse them within certain limits for such expenditures as they made in the prosecu-tion of the contest. Mr. Warren-Will the senator permit

me to ask him a question? Mr. Bacon-Certainly.

Mr. Bacon-Certainly. Mr. Warren-Of course a contest be-tween two men who both believe they are entitled to the same seat in Con-gress makes some foundation upon which to rest the payment of the ex-penses of both; but would the senator from Georgia undertake to consent to establish a precedent here now by pay-ing John Doe and Richard Roe, who make claim for no one for a seat in this body, so that hereafter any per-sons, no matter whether it is any of their business or not, can attack any senator on this floor and be paid for making such attacks?

Mr. Bacon-I certainly would not de-she to encourage any capricious at-tack on the right of a senator to a scat sine to encourage any control a seator tack on the right of a senator to a seat on this floor, but nobody will contend that this was a capricious attack. It was one, which very deeply interested the entire American people, and there is no pretense that it was a capricious attack on the right of a senator to his seat on this floor. I think it was evi-dently done in the utmost good faith. Whereas there may have been some zcalous parties who may have possibly been influenced by motives rather more extreme than we would austain or ap-prove, at the same time the general preposition which was made and upon which this most notable contest was founded cannot be classed among those attacks which may be said to be ca-prictous, and an undue attack upon the right of a senator to his sort on this floor.

Mr. Carmack-I wish to ask the senator if those who prosecuted this pro-test against the senator from Utal bave made any request for reimburse-

ment? Mr. Bacon-They have not, so far as I knot

know. Mr. Carmack-—Then it seems to me the senate certainly ought not to vol-unteer to make reimbursement. Mr. Bacon—If the senator had per-mitted me to proceed I possibly would have made a suggestion in that regard which would have relieved him of that inquiry. I had previously said that I did not think the amendment was in proper form. Mr. McCumber-Mr. President-

Mr. Bacon—I think so. Mr. McCumber—Then, the sum that is sought to be paid by this amend-ment is for the attorneys. Is it not also true that the attorneys have been

paid? Mr. Bacon-I am sure I do not know. They were not paid by the government, I presume. Mr. McCumber-No: but if they have been paid by anyone who em-ployed them, why should the govern-ment pay them again? Mr. Bacon-I understand that this identical to comburge the sen

Mr. Bacon-1 understand that this appropriation is to reimburse the sen-ator from Utah. Mr. McCumber-I am not speaking about the senator from Utah, but of the amendment. The amendment proposes to appropriate \$15,000 to pay some other expenses; and inasmuch as the government has already paid proposes to appropriate \$15,000 to pay some other expenses; and inasmuch as the government has already paid the expenses of the witnesses, most of the appropriation must necessarily be for expenses of counsel. It is my understanding that the counsel for the prosecution were taken care of, and that their expenses and fees have been raid

been paid, Mr. Bacon-Now, Mr. President,

Mr. Bacon-Now. Mr. President, that is, I presume, the question of the senator from North Dakota. As I un-derstand it, the senator from Utah has paid his counsel, and this is to re-imburse him for that expenditure. On the other hand, I suppose it is true really that those who prosecuted this question as to the right of the senator from Utah to his seat have also paid their counsel. I simply contended that when a proper presentation was made they should within certain limitations be reimbursed; but, so far as this amendment is concerned. I myself could not vote for it, because we have no evidence as to what was paid or the no evidence as to what was paid or the persons by whom it was paid. I think that makes clear my position in the

Mr. Hale-I move to lay the amend-

Mr. Hale—I move to lay the amend-ment on the table. Mr. Dubois—Mr. President— Mr. Hale—I am so very desirous of getting the bill through and getting it over to the house to avoid a night ses-sion that I must make the motion. Mr. Dubois—I can clear up a little of this question in just a few words. If the senator from Maine will allow me. The Vice President—Dies the senator from Maine yield to the senator from Idaho?

Mr. Hale-I will yield briefly to the

senator. Mr. Dubois-I knew nothing about the amendment which the senator from Mississippi has proposed. J was not consulted about it at all and I had nothing whatever to do with it. But the facts are that the women organiza-tions of the country and the ministerial aresociation paid the attorneys \$7,500. That amount was paid and it was paid by those organizations. That answers the question of the senator from Tensenator.

the question of the senator from Ten-Mr. Carmack-Let me ask the senator

Mr. Carman vas paid? Mr. Dubois-It was paid directly to the attorneys through the ministerial organization and the woman's organ-

ught to pay money to any person who s not even asking for it? Mr. McLaurin-I think if it owes the Mr. McLaurin-I think if it owes the money or if it is just, that it ought to. I think the United States government,

I think the United States government, like an individual, ought not to wait to be dunned. I think when an individual has the money and owes a just debt that the individual ought to pay it, and so. I think, with the government. Here is a proposition to pay one of the cen-testants, and in that same proposition there is a proposition, which I make, to pay the other. Mr. Bacon-Will the senator permit me to ask him a question?

The Vice President-Does the senator from Mississippi yield to the senator from Georgia?

Mr. McLaurin-I do, if the senator from Tennessee is through with his question. Mr. Carmack-I intended to ask the

question. \* Mr. Carmack-I intended to ask the senator one other question. Mr. McLaurin-Very well. Mr. Carmack-The movey which has been used by the opponents or the con-testants against Senator Smoot was collected, as I understand the senator from Idaho [Mr. Dubols]. from reeple all over the country. How are we go-ing to get this money back to the people who really paid it? Mr. Dubols-No, Mr. President: the senator misunderstood me. It was this woman's organ-ization which expended this money in this good work, and they would expend it again in good work if the amount is refunded to them. Mr. Carmack-For other purposes? Mr. Dubols-In good work, missionary work. The money originally came from this organization. Mr. McLaurin-That, I think, answers the question of the senator from Ten-nessee. Mr. Carmack-No. sim the money

nessee. Mr. Carmack-No, sir: the money might be expended for other purposes. Mr. Bacon-Mr. President, I desire to ask the senator from Mississippi a

The Vice President-Does the senator

The Vice President-Does the senator from Mississippi yield to the senator from Georgia? Mr. Bacom--f desire to ask the sena-tor from Mississippi this question: If this amendment should be adopted, who is the person who will be authorized to go to the treasury and draw the reares?

McLaurin-Is that the question Mr.

Mr. Bacon-Yes. Mr. Bacon-Yes. Mr. McLaurin-The person who paid the money for the prosecution. Mr. Bacon-But it must be paid to semehody.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* SCOFFERS often make strong converts to POSTUM FOOD COFFEE 10 day's trial in place of coffee shows the

organization and the woman's organ-izations of the country. Mr. Carinack-They collected the money, I suppose? Mr. Dubois-They collected the money from their people. Mr. Carinack-And will give it back?

for paying both the contestant and the contestee; but simply to say that because numerous persons have proteste against the senator from Utah hold-ing a seat in this body, the senate should pay them for their expenses is simply inviting assault upon the titles of senators and representatives to their SPATS

Mr. McLaurin-If A should sue B in Mr. McLaurin-If A should sue B in court, there would be two litigants, and the litigation would probably end by the success of one and the defeat of the other; but that does not dis-prove the proposition where a protest is led, as in this case, and the pro-test has been prosecuted by the prot-estant and defended by the protestee, that there is a contest. There was a contest here between the protestant and the protestee, and it does not make any difference whether the parties were called protestees or any interested whether the parties were called protestants and protestees or whether it be called a contest; it is an effort on the part of the protestants to keep out of the senate a man who is supposed by them not to be worthy of a supposed by them not to be worthy of a scat in the senate, and the junior sen-ator from Georgia, who has just taken his scat [Mr. Clay], did not believe that this was a frivolous protest—the prosecution of a protest against the scating of the claimant to his scat-because, as I recollect, he voted for ex-cluding the senator from Utah from his scat and voted to uphold the protest made by these protestants. Mr. Mallory—Mr. President— The Vice President—Does the senator from Florida? Mr. McLaurin—With pleasure. Mr. Mallory—I desire to ask the sena-

from Mississippi yield to the senator from Florida? Mr. McLaurin-With pleasure. Mr. McLaurin-With pleasure. Mr. Maliory-I desire to ask the sena-tor from Mississippi if he does not see the difference in the case of a contest for a seat in the United States senate between a contestant and a contestee who was seated, and the case of parties who come here protesting against the seating of an individual or who come here with a protest showing why they think he ought not to be seated? Does the senator from Mississippi see no dis-tinction between those two cases? Mr. McLaurin-Of course there is a distinction; but when a senator comes with a certificate showing that he is duly elected and the contestant comes with a contest and fails, the contestant is allowed money for his expenses in the contest. It is a distinction with-out a difference.

In answer money for his expenses in the contest. It is a distinction without a difference. Mr. Mallory—Why do these protest-ants ask for this money? Mr. McLaurin—I suppose it is because they want to get the money out of the treasury. I do not knew of any other reason. It seems to me the justice of the matter would be to allow these littigants to stand upon their merits just as any other contestants in election cases are allowed to stand upon their merits. Lake any other contestants prosecuting a contest, they should not be denied the amount expended in detraying the expense of the litigation. That is my idea about it. Mr. Mallory—I will not interrupt the senator to go into an exposition of my views of the matter.

Mr. McLaurin-4 should be very glad if the senator would do so, because I always like to hear the senator speak. Mr. Mallory-There is a very wide distinction between the two cases. The senate pays a contestant in case of a contest, because it is proper and right that those who think themselves duly elected to this body should not be deelected to this body should not be de-terped from contesting their seats just because they may not have the means to do so and have no certainty of re-imbursement; but that is a very differ-ent thing from a case in which there is no contest whatever brought into the senate, but just simply a protest filed.

that in a case of this kind \$15,000 is rather extravagant for counsel and at torney's fees-if the organization of women who fought this case though that the morals of the country required that there should be a protest entered against the admission of a man into the senate who belonged to an organization that recognized polygamy; if they thought that the admission of one who belonged to an organization that recog-nized polygamy was to strike at the nized polygamy was to strike at homes of the country; prosecuted in good faith that protest and expende this amount of money, and it does seen to me that the actual amount of more to me that the actual amount of moviey that they expended, inasmuch as it is only half of that that is appropriated for the protestee, ought to be appro-priated in their behalf. That is my idea about it, and I submit it to the senate. As I have said before, I can not be-lieve it is good legislation or that it is good policy for the government to pay either party to this contest. So far as the principale is concerned, while there

the principle is concerned, while ther is an apparent distinction between contestant contesting for a seat and contestee contesting for the retention o his seat, that distinction is merely in words, because the principle is exactly In one case the contestant says, "I

am entitled to this seat and the other man is not entitled to it, and he ought not to be permitted to legislate for the country, because he has not been elect-ed to represent the state whose credenials he brings purporting to authorize aim to represent it," whereas in the ther case the protestants say, not the hey want a seat, but that good govern they want a seat, but that good govern-ment requires that the man who occu-ples the seat ought not to be entitled to the seat until an adjudication can be had of the question of his election, of the returns of his election, and of his qualifications. If they say that because of a deficiency in either one of these he is not entitled to his seat, they have a wheth to present their protest is not entitled to his seat, they have a right to present their protest, whether it is to the election, to the returns, or qualifications, and they have a right to bring it before the senate of the United States and ask the senate to pass upon the qualifications. Whether it is decid-ed by the senate that the only qualifi-cations are those negatively named in the Constitution or whether all matters tending to justify his expulsion may be considered, is a matter immaterial to this question.

this question. Mr. Hale-Mr. President, the house of Mr. Hale—Mr. President, the noise of representatives is waiting to receive this bill, and therefore I am constrained to move to lay the amendment of the senator from Mississippi on the table. The Vice President—The question is on agreeing to the motion of the sena-tor from Maine.

The motion was agreed to.

#### DO NOT CROWD THE SEASON.

DO NOT CROWD THE SEASON. The first warm days of spring bring with them a desire to set out and enjoy when them a desire to set out and enjoy the exhibiting air and sunshine. Chil-dress that have been housed up all win-ter are brought out and you wonder where they all came from. The beavy winter clothing is thrown kalde and many shed their flatmels. Then a cold wave comes and people say that grip is spidem. I. Colds at this season are even more dangerous than in mid-whiter, as there is much more danger of pneumonia. Take Chamberlain's Cough Remedy, however and you will have nothing to fear. It al-ways cures, and we have never known a cold to result in pneumonia when it was used. It is pleasant and safe to take children is

Ever held by any store. And we have never experienced a week's business in this store nearly approaching in volume the splendid rec-ord now being made. Never has the public shown such interest in a Linen Sale as this week. Every day has proved of such large pro-portion that we have been unable to cope with it. MORE HELP ON FOR THE LAST DAY, SO WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO WAIT ON EVERYONE.

#### OTHER SPECIALS FOR SATURDAY. A CREAT CLOVE SALE-LONG SILK GLOVES. BEST \$1.65 GRADE AT \$1.19.

Ladies' long pure milanes silk gloves in black and white; a good serviceable medium heavy quality that sells regularly at \$1.65 a pair, and is sure to be considerable higher later on; goes on sale Saturday all day at

## SATURDAY ALL DAY SPECIAL. Another Slaughter Sale of Men's Negligee Shirts. The Greatest Value ever offered in the line of Men's Shirts for the money. 75c and \$1.00 VALUES. SATURDAY ALL DAY-48c.

Such bargains were never before offered on Men's Shirts. Some f the latest Spring patierns are included. These shirts are up-to-date i every respect. Style, wear and workmanship of the best. We have large assortment to select from in Plain and Plaited styles, dark oven madras, and light and dark stripes in printed percales with iffs to match; worthy to be worn by the most up-to-date dressers. Il first-class stock values up to \$1.09, Saturday 48C 





frimmed curts. **52.45** for fine White Walst of ane dozan different patterns to choose from, preity embroidery or dainty lace and tucked effects, elbow sleeves, ex-

**53.75** for Panama Walking brown in the latest side pleated effect, finished with tallor stitching, very full, regular \$5.00 values.

SPECIAL FOR SATURDAY NIGHT, FROM 7 TO 9 O'CLOCK.

## BOYS' KNEE PANTS.

ONLY 100 PAIR AT THIS PRICE. See Values for 2 hours only at 19: 106 pairs boys Knee Pants, in light and dark stripes, checks, and fancy novelties in medium and dark colors. The best pant for the money. Sizes from 4 to 16 years. Saturday hight for two hours only at .....