THE DESERET NEWS.

LOCAL NEWS.

FROM FRIDAY'S DAILY, MAY 7

Death in Arizona.-By letter from Thatcher, Graham County, Arizona, we learn of the sudden death at that Moody, son of William and Harriet Moody, of Descret, Millard County, Utab. He died of pneumona, from which he had suffered about two weeks. He leaves a wife and three children children.

A Veteran Gone. -By letter from Brother Wm. Taylor, Jr., of Ferron City, Emery County, we learn that Father Lyman Stevens of that place, one of the earliest members of the hurch, passed from life on the 18th He was the son of Jonathan and

He was the son of Jonathan and Olive Hoyt Stevens, and was born in Danby, Tompkins County, New York, February 7, 1812. He embraced the Gospel during the second year of the Courch's existence, being baptized on the 27th of May, 1831. He was ordained an Elder on the 9th of May, 1836, his certificate to that effect, which is sull in existence, bearing the signatures of Joseph Smith Jr. and F. G. Williams. Another certificate in possession of the family shows that he was ordained a High Priest, May 11, 1843. He shared in the persecutions of the Saints from the time he became connected with the in the persecutions of the Saints from the time he became connected with the Church, and after being driven out from Illinois served as a member of the "Mormon Battalion." For some time he lived in Shonesburg, Kane County, where his 'wife died in 1874. He was the father of nine sons and one daughter, and had 61 grandchil-dren and three great-grandchildren, the number of posterity at present liv-

the number of posterity at present liv-ing being 64. He was faithful unto the end, and like a shock of grain fully ripe was gathered into the garner of the Lord the Lord.

O. P. Arnold Arrested.—Our read-ers will remember that a little over a year ago—in April, 1885—Orson P. Arnoid was arrested ou a charge of violating the Edmunds law, by living with more than one woman as his wives, and was induced to promise to "live within the law" in the future. At that time U. S. Commis-sioner McKay was very pro-fuse in his commendation of Mr. Arnold's course, but subsequent events have caused a change to come "o'er the spirit of his dream." Mr. Arnoid did not exactly assume the position which it was evidently hoped he would do hy turning and bitterly persecuting the "Mormons." For sometime past, especially since it was discovered that Mr. Ar-hold was on the train on which Presi-dent Cannon was traveling when ar-rested, it has been generally under-stood that the prosecutor and the commissioner were endeavoring to O. P. Arnold Arrested .- Our read-

was set for trial at the successive terms of court since that date, but has been postpoued from time to time, chiefly on account of an absent witness. The circumstances surround-ing the whole proceeding were such as ing the whole proceeding were such as to make the case of more than ordin-ary interest. Mr. Young's plural wife, Agnes McMurrin Young, was also charged by the same grand jury with perjury, in having at the examination before Commissioner McKay, prior to the finding of the indictment against Mr. Young, testified that she was mar-ried on Feb. 8, 1881, while the prose-cution alleged that the marriage took place in June, 1853. In addition to this, the late grand jury found an-other indictment against him with three counts, the time covered having been segregated into three periods.

three counts, the time covered having been segregated into three periods. When the trial commenced the fol-lowing jurors took their places in the box: Henry Sadler, J. M. Kennelly, T. W. Whitely, S. S. Maxwell, Aaron Sullivan, J. B. Paxton, Henry Seigel, D. C. Murphy, Henry Carrigan, E. A. Whittaker, L. Goldberg, Edward Boche. Roche.

Henry Sadler and E. A. Whittaker had formed an unqualified opiniou;

had formed an unqualified opiniou; excused. L. Goldberg had an opinion that it would require considerable evidence to change, and was excused. James M. Kennelly was challenged for cause and excused. Niel Gillis, W. E. Blenney, W. F. Garish, W. T. Barbee and George Chandler were then called. W. E. Blenney had a fixed opinion, and was excused. S. C. Paucake was called as a juror, and accepted.

and accepted. The jury to try the case was as fol-

lows T. W. Whitely, Aaron Sullivan, Henry Carrigan, Edward Roche, S. S. Maxwell,

ion Summent	J. D. Faxton,
nry Carrigan,	D. O. Murphy,
ward Roche,	Niels Gillis.
F. Gairish,	W. T. Barbee,
orge Chandler.	S. C. Pancake.

The indictment charges that the de-fendaut, while having a wife living and undivorced, on June 13, 1883, married Agnes McMurrin, thereby committing the offense of polygamy; and further, that from June 13, 1883, to Feb. 1, 1885, lived with Mary P. Young, and Emma Rawlins Young as his wives, ac-knowledging them as such, contrary to the provisions of the Edmunds law.

jury room that I held up my hand while Mr. Showell read the paper; I may have held up my hand when the paper was read; I took it be-cause I was not living with Mr. Young as his wife; I knew he had a wife when I married him. Objected to by the defense; as the affidavit referred to was not an oath, under the law, which prohibited only those cohabiting with a polygamist. The witness was not living with her husband, and being the wife of a polyg-amist, but not living with him, did not disquality her, and the registration of-ficer had no right to require the oath, as the U.S. Supreme Court had de-clared. The witness knew she was en-titled to vote, and the registration officer had no right to impose addi-tional requirements to those provided by law. The Court overruled the ob-jection. Mr. Dickson—Are you hard of hear-

tional requirements to those provided by law. The Court overruled the ob-jection. Mr. Dickson-Are you hard of hear-ing, Miss McMurrin? Witness-Not if you speak loud enouch; Mr. Showell was eight or ten feet distant; I don't rememberhearing him read about not being the wife of a polygamist; I did not pay much at-tention; I did not understand I was not the wife of a polygamist, nor did I intend to make such a statement; I knew I had the right to vote and I voted, that was all there was in it. Cross-examined by the driense-I told the Commissioner I did not under-stand the distinction between the an-ti-polygamy laws; the reason I gave was that we did not live together was that we desired to have the benefits of the limitation law; I remember the prosecution of George Reynolds; I now remem?er the anti polygamy agi-tation in 1880 and 1881; I was married Feb. 8, 1851; my mother knew of it, and so did my father; I received a certifi-cate of marriage from Joseph F.Smith, who married us.

so did my faither i federica i certai-cate of marriage from Joseph F.Smith, who married us. The marriage certificate was offered in evidence, but Mr. Dickson objected. Witness, continuing — I told Mrs. Jos. W. McMurrin ot my marriage a short time after the occurrence; I heard a rumor of the marriage. (Ob-jected to by the prosecution. Objec-tion sustained.) To Mr. Dickson — My father and mother and sister-in-haw knew of the marriage; also Joseph F. Smith and the witness to the marriage; I do not remember telling the Comaissioner that I said to Mr. Showell, in 1852, that I was not married. (Objected to by the defense; overruied.) The Court then took recess until 2 p.m.

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

Creek; traveled with Mr. Young's buggy, driven by Mr. Young's hired man; I had been at my hsme one night; buggy, driven by Mr. Young's hired man; I had been at my hsme one night; I then came, home two evenings b-fere I was arrested; I came to town several times but never saw the de-fendant; he dld not come to Provo; he came to my bedroom when the depu-ties came; I have been supported by Mr. Young. (Objected to; overruled.) After we agreed to separate I did not recognize him as my husband; I ceased to do so June 10, 1883; I was some-times called Mrs. Young. My husband provides for my little girl and myself, all the time, and sends me means. While I lived heme, my husband sent me money and provisions, and whatever I needed. I was illäwhen my child was born, but not at any other time. I have had three childreu; the second is five and a half years old, and is the only child living. I own the house I live in; Mr. Young bought it for me. Before I was ar-rested, I asked Mr. Young to get the buggy for me, and he came to tell me it was ready. I was going to my sis-ter's. I moved around of my own free will. I sent for Mr. Young to get the the buggy, but did not ask him to come. Cross-examined-Mr. Young has not come,

Cross-examined-Mr. Young has not Cross-examined—Mr. Yonng has not lived with me as my husband since June 20, 1883; he had taken the advice of attorneys on the subject. He treated me as a friend but did not cohabit with me, and I never went into public with him. Mr. Young never advised me to keep out of the way of the officers, but advised me to stay at home. I did not want to get into the courts. courts

Deputy Cuddihe was called and the trial was still in progress when we went to press, and will probably not be concluded until to-morrow afternoon.

FROM SATURDAY'S DAILY, MAY 8

Killed.—A twelve year old boy, netued Charles Welch, was accident-ally run over and killed by a Utah Cen-tral train, at Ogden, yesterday.

Dismissed.-The case of the United States vs. Agnes McMuriu, charged with perjury, was dismissed in the Third District Court this morning, there being no evidence that the accused had committed the offense.

someone inside that if they didn't open the door he would come through the window; a lady's voice responded, "Wait till I get some clothes on;" she (Miss Rawlings) came on in about ten minutes; I did not see Mr. Young in the room.

the room. Cross-examined—There was a man sleeping on the bed in the dining room: I dida't see who it was. The prosecution rested their case with this witness, and Mr. Sheeks an-nounced that the polygamy count had been abandoned.

nounced that the polygamy count had been abandoned. Joseph O. Young was called for the defense, and said-I saw the defendant on the morning of Miss Rawlins' ar-rest. We were both out at the barn feeding our horses. I saw him come out of his house on Main Street, and after coming to the barn where I was, he went to Miss Rawlings: I saw him come from his house at about 5:30; I went with Mr. Greenman to the house, and saw Mr. Young come out. Cross-examined—I saw the defend-ant come out of that bedroom door; I am his brother.

Cross-examined—I saw the defend-ant come out of that bedroom door; I am his brother. Emma Rawlins recalled—The clothes on the chair were those of a gentleman who came in late the night before, and who took of his coat, hat and boots and left them by the fire, that being the only room in which there was a fire. I don't know his name; he was some one that Mr. Pratt knew; he came to my roem because I was the only one up; he did not leave his vest or his shirt there; he did pull off his boots. This closed the case, and Mr. Sheeks stated that the defense were willing to submit it to the jury without argument, but Dickson and Varian refused, and the latter made the opening speech. He was followed by Messrs. Sheeks and Rawlins, and Mr. Dickson closed with a vicious attack opon the defend-ant, and lady witnesses that has no parailel in his past record in this court. The Court then charged the tury.

The Court then charged the jury, who returned a verdict of guilty. The names of these jurys are as follows:

T. W. Whitely,	S. S. MaxWell,
Aaron Sullivan,	J. B. Paxton.
Henry Carrigan.	D. C. Murphy,
Henry Siegel.	Niels Gillis,
W. F. Gairish,	W. T. Barbee,
George Chandler.	S. O. Pancake.

The time of fixing the date for pass-ing sentence was set for this morning, and court adjourned.

N. Treweck,	Cornelius Hunt,
H. A. Cummings,	E. Springer,
J. M. Harvey.	Geo. A. Lowe.
J. M. Harvey, J. W. Campbell,	Geo. A. Lowe, W. W. Chisholm.
o	