

work contemplated may be done during good weather. Adopted.

OGDEN, SUBDIVISION.

The same official reported that duplicate maps and profiles of Ogden subdivision had been filed in his office and he asked for instruction regarding approval of the same. Committee on streets.

STREET AND SIDEWALK GRADES.

The city engineer submitted profiles showing street and sidewalk grades over the following thoroughfares: On A street from South Temple to Sixth street; on A, C and D streets from South Temple to Seventh street; on E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M and N streets from Fourth to Seventh streets; on First street from State to A streets; on Second and Third streets from Canyon road to A street; on Fifth and Sixth streets from A to N streets; on Seventh street from B to N street. Committee on streets.

OTHER MARKET STREET IMPROVEMENTS.

The same officer submitted the following estimates of cost of paving, curbing and guttering on Market street:

Asphaltum	\$ 9,374 80
Curbing	1,650 00
Guttering	1,403 00
Engineering and inspection.....	500 00

Total.....\$12,927 80

Committee on streets.

COST OF CONSTRUCTING SIDEWALKS.

The same official submitted the following estimate of cost for constructing sidewalks on both sides of Market street, including grading and engineering:

Four inch cement.....	\$3,058 00
Four inch asphaltum.....	3,058 00
Four inch flagstone.....	5,351 50

Committee on streets.

RETAINING WALL ENCROACHMENT.

The same official reported that he had permitted the retaining wall now being built in front of the Roland Hall property on First street to extend into the street a distance of 2 1/2 feet in order to secure a uniformity in improvements. A similar encroachment was allowed for a portion of the wall by the preceding City Council. Adopted.

FROM JUSTICE KESLER.

Police Justice Kesler's report for the month of June was read. It showed that 121 cases had been tried, and \$591 had been paid to Treasurer Duke, besides the fines collected by labor. Filed.

HOW MUCH ARE THEY ENTITLED TO?

John J. Riley and others represented that they are the owners of the Leadville sub-division; that they are entitled to the ownership and vested rights in certain streams of water controlled by the Council; that owing to the absence of any record they are unable to say what said streams are or the amount of water due them, and they ask that the city decide how much water is due them and make it a matter of record.

FROM THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS.

The board of public works submitted this:

"We beg to ask for instructions as to whether or not we shall order the contractor in sidewalk districts Nos. 7 and 8, to proceed with the laying of sidewalk in front of what is known as the

Ontario hotel property on West Temple street. The portion of the work referred to is fenced in, but we have never been notified that the abutters were exempted from the sidewalk tax or granted permission to postpone the construction of the sidewalk."

Committee on streets.

FROM CORPORATION COUNCIL.

In the matter of condemnation of property for the purpose of widening Social Hall avenue and making that thoroughfare the same width at either end as it is in the middle, the city attorney reported that sufficient data had not been furnished him to draw up the necessary papers and he suggested that the city engineer make an estimate of the street as contemplated and have petitioners advance such amounts as will cover the expense of survey. This the attorney said was necessary before condemnation proceedings could be commenced.

STREET MATTERS.

In the matter of the petition of Murray C. Godbe for retaining walls on State street, the committee on streets reported that the case in question was one in which the city was not liable, and recommended that the petition be denied. Adopted.

In the matter of paving walks on Main, First and Second South streets the committee recommended that the city attorney be requested to prepare and the city recorder to publish a notice to the property owners directing them to make the usual water and sewer pipe connections. Adopted.

In the matter of paving works on Main, First and Second South streets, the same committee recommended that the superintendent of waterworks and city engineer be requested to submit a statement showing amount and estimate of cost of the water pipe necessary to be laid on the streets named, in advance of paving. Adopted.

The special committee, consisting of Alderman Rich, Lawson and Evans, reported as follows:

Your committee appointed to investigate the delay of the paving work on State street would report, that after a thorough investigation with the Salt Lake railroad and Rapid Transit companies; also with Mr. Swift and Mr. Reid, of the Pacific Paving company, we report as follows:

The Salt Lake railway and the Rapid Transit companies are so far in advance with their work that we cannot possibly see that they are causing any delay in the paving of the said street, but we do find that the Pacific Paving company has not a sufficient plant here in this city to carry on as required by contract; that they are not getting their material on the ground fast enough, neither do they carry any paving blocks at the quarry or screened gravel at the pits in advance of work.

That they have made no preparations for the completion of their work that they should have done before plowing up the streets and stopping traffic thereon.

We also find that in our opinion there is no system, or no one who seems to have charge in cleaning up the sidewalk and guttering after curbing and paving is done.

If this is attended to your committee believes that business men would not have half the cause to complain, as teams could drive in on the upper and drive out at the lower end of each block. By so doing it would benefit the business men and help to remove a good deal of the dissatisfaction.

We recommend that the Pacific Paving company be requested to at once increase the capacity of their asphalt plant and to crowd the preparation of all material that may be necessary for the completion of this work, and unless a united effort on their part is done to the satisfaction of this Council, we would recommend that they be held to the strict terms of their contract, and that no further extension of time be granted them.

We further recommend that the intersection of State and Third South streets be not disturbed until the paving and railway companies have all material necessary to complete the work on the ground, and that the work shall not be suspended until completed—night and day.

Horn moved that the Secretary of the paving company, who was present, be allowed to set forth the company's side of the question in the delay in paving State street, and that the report of the committee be received and filed.

Moran moved to amend that the report be recorded and filed and the committee discharged from further service.

Wantland asked that the secretary of the company be allowed to present the company's side of the question.

Horn—Serious charges have been preferred by this committee against the company, and I think their secretary should be heard.

Rich—I do not propose to occupy my seat in this Council and listen to any such statement as that just made by Mr. Horn, without protesting against it. What the committee did was done after full and complete investigation.

Evans—I think the integrity of our committee has been assailed by Mr. Horn, and I think his remarks are entirely out of place.

Lawson—I, too, was a member of the committee that drew up that report, and I consider it a mild one. This Council has been trifled with by the contractors. Those gentlemen should be brought to time. The assertion that our report is too strong is false, and I stand prepared to defend the committee's action.

I cannot see that the company is hampered in any way, they have two representatives in this council who seem to be doing all in their power to protect their interest. Wantland moved that the secretary of the company be now allowed to speak on the question.

The chair said that permission could be granted only by unanimous consent.

Folland inquired what the object was in letting the secretary talk, and if it was not simply to get up a discussion.

Wantland replied in the negative saying that it would be good to hear from him on general principles.

Wantland wanted to know if Lawson insinuated that Mr. Horn and myself were representatives of the Paving company.

Horn also took the floor and indignantly asked the same question, but the chair could not allow the query to be pressed and Lawson remained silent.

The motion now prevailed to allow Major Silva, secretary of the company, to speak. That gentleman said that he was at a loss to know why the councilmen had been so urgent in obtaining permission