

EVENING NEWS.

Published Daily, Sundays Excepted,
AT FOUR O'CLOCK.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE
DESERET NEWS COMPANY.

CHARLES W. PENROSE, EDITOR.

Saturday, Jan. 5, 1884.

EDITORIAL NOTES.

A great part of India is still uncivilized,—"virgin soil." Mr. Patterson estimates that in the four principal wheat-producing provinces—the Punjab, Northwestern and Central Oudh, and Bombay—there is a total area of nearly 370,000 square miles.

Susan B. Anthony tells us that the man of the future and the woman of the future will be better than the man and the woman of to-day. We are not disposed to argue the matter. Miss Anthony may wait for the man of the future, as she seems inclined to do, but the woman of to-day is good enough for anybody.

"Recall at night not only your business transactions, but what you have said during the day, and weigh in the balance of conscience what you have uttered." If you have done full justice in your remarks, it is well. If you have not, then seek the earliest opportunity to make amends, and carefully avoid a repetition of the wrong.

The English astronomer, Norman Lockyer, has just come to the conclusion that the red sunsets are due to the dust and ashes from the Java earthquake, brought over here by the trade winds. The chief trouble with this theory is that it does not account for similar phenomena in other parts of the world not in range of the trade winds and that it is very late in the day.

The Chicago News remarks: A delegation from the Interior Department is going to investigate the troubles arising from the election of a chief by the Creek Indians. The fact that a fraud was practiced in the Indian election shows that the red man is susceptible of civilization. Why not stop off his political education by telling him the story of the electoral commission of 1877?

An exchange says: St. Louis society has just begun to suspect that John McCullough, the actor, intended a personal reflection upon it when, at a recent reception to him in that city, he recited that gorgeous poem, "The Little Boat." The outside opinion is that no matter what Mr. McCullough's intentions may have been, no snub he might have administered could have sufficiently punished the vulgar St. Louisians for their rudeness in asking an invited guest to entertain them.

Birney, Montana, of which J. W. Toohy was made Postmaster, is eighty miles from any place in which there is a notary, and when it became necessary for Mr. Toohy to take the oath of office he swore himself in. In due time the papers were returned, not satisfying him to instruct him to swear before a notary, as there is no precedent for a man swearing before himself. He replied that he knew of no precedent that would entitle him to mileage and expenses to go 100 miles and be sworn in, and that if his papers were not satisfactory the department might get another man for Postmaster.

"Find out how you can do your work best, then do it in your way. To be sure, somebody may say to you that Rev. Amos A. Sleeke was accustomed to do things in a different way. What of it? Let the memory of Mr. Sleeke be revered, but let not his example be a good to be stuck into you, whenever you deviate from his accustomed pathways. That Mr. Sleeke was accustomed to go one way may be a very good reason why you may go another. Differences of temperament, intellect and education, cannot all be summed into identity. You will undoubtedly dash your pruning-knife and spoil the growth, if you make the attempt."

A Dr. Granville writes to the London Times: "Many persons who are not by habit dreamers are dreaming a great deal just now and wondering why they do so. The answer is very simple. When cold weather sets in suddenly and it is much felt at night, the head is unclothed, the blood is supplied to it driven from the surface to the deep parts, notably the brain—the organ of the mind. The results are light sleep and dreams. The obvious remedy is to wear a nightcap or wrap the head warmly at night, so that the cold weather lasts. I believe we of this generation suffer more from brain troubles than our predecessors because we leave the head exposed at night and the blood vessels of our cerebral organs are seldom unloaded."

A prize of two guineas was recently offered by the Journal of Education (England) for the best list of the "ten greatest living English men of letters." Five hundred and twenty-two lists were accepted, which showed that Tennyson is the most popular English author, being mentioned in 391 lists; Ruskin came next, being mentioned in 462, and Matthew Arnold third, with 453 mentions. Then came the following, in the order of their names: Browning, Frod, Swinburne, E. A. Freeman, Herbert Spencer, Cardinal Newman, John Morley, William Morris, Henry Gladstone, Lucy, Farrer, Professor Seeley, Leslie Stephen, Lewis Morris, William Black, Shorthouse, Blackmore, Max Muller, Justin McCarthy, George McDonald, Mallock, strange to say, was mentioned by only eleven.

An exchange says: Vanderbilt's one hundred thousand dollar ball has roused William Astor to the necessity of eclipsing it, and, accordingly, on January 21 next, we will be sickened by another display of vulgar wealth. Ostensibly the Astor show will be to celebrate the departure of the original Astor from Holland. There will be a silver lunch service valued at \$125,000, and a wine service put at \$30,000; English grouse and pheasants, prairie chickens, quail, wild turkeys, venison, bear-steaks—all the creatures imported alive for the occasion; and after a night of silk, silver, and gold, too much wine, 600 guests will ride home in gaudy carriages. What a contrast is this to the simple and dignified life, described once as being peeling apples for cook-out in the kitchen of a lady who had viewed a considerable literary celebrity by her books, and who earned a support with her pen. And then, too, while the costly Astor circus is going on, how many poor will there be in New York, freezing and dying, and in the hands of the unscrupulous monopolies which have given William Astor his wealth?

NEITHER RELIGIOUS NOR POLITICAL HERESY.

The history of the human race shows that it is harder for any government to put down a political heresy than it is to suppress a religious belief that is founded in a real and widespread religious belief. For more than 300 years after the crucifixion of Jesus the Christian religion was regarded by the best public opinion of the civilized world in much the same light that Mormonism is now viewed by public opinion in the United States. Yet it finally triumphed over all hatreds, contempt and persecution, and has for six centuries been the religion of the tens of millions of Europe and America. The progress of Mormonism has been more rapid than that of Christianity was for the first half century after Christ, and yet, strange as it may seem, even a philosophy which has been more than any other within historic limits marked by its intellectual and moral discoveries in the path of pure reason and science. That a system of faith founded in action and the utmost bigotry, without one spark of truth or reason in it, should have obtained such a footing in such an era and in the most enlightened part of the world, is a mystery more impenetrable than the rise of Mohammedanism.

The foregoing is from a long leader on "The Mormon Problem" in a recent issue of the San Francisco Chronicle. It is a mixture of truth and error. The "Mormonism" of the "heresy" of today becomes the "orthodoxy" of tomorrow, and that "the best public opinion" is frequently foolish and wrong. The religion of Jesus Christ eighteen centuries ago was regarded in the same light as "Mormonism" is today and for the same reasons. The two systems are exactly similar, one being a reproduction of the other, having the same organization, doctrines, ordinances, authority, gifts, powers, spirit and objects. The opposition to each is from similar sources and for similar reasons. Ignorance and prejudice oppose "Mormonism" as they opposed early Christianity. The so-called "best public opinion" condemned Christ and His Apostles and their teachings, just as it now condemns Joseph Smith and his disciples and the same teachings repeated. The Scriptures denounced Christianity and invoked the secular law for its destruction and the punishment of its adherents, just as the editors and professors, the Puritans and the preachers act now in reference to "Mormonism."

Public opinion is no safe guide in regard to anything that is strange or seems to be new. For people have crucified and put to death the best men the world ever saw. And nothing that has come to mankind as an innovation has escaped abuse and violence, emanating not only from the populace, but from the best of men. God Almighty never yet introduced his word and will to the inhabitants of this earth, but they were met with a storm of indignation and violence from the masses, urged on by those who were considered, at the time, the best judges of right or wrong, honesty or orthodoxy.

The Christian religion triumphed over the influences exerted against it for two centuries, including the weapons wielded by the secular power, and "Mormonism," as the same system is called to-day, will have the same triumph over modern malevolence and bigotry and the prejudice of this "enlightened" age. For Christianity has endured but in form. Its life and beauty went out of it after the chief persecutions it encountered ceased; while in its religious form, vulgarly called "Mormonism," it will continue to abide and prevail in spirit and in power, until the world is regenerated and subdued to God and His Christ; for the mouth of the Lord of Hosts has spoken it.

The progress which "Mormonism," has made, correctly described, by the Chronicle, would indicate its position in this philosophical and intellectual age, or in any other, if the statement of that paper concerning the system were in any degree correct. "A system of faith without any spark of truth or reason in it," would be an anomaly indeed. There has never been such a monstrosity in the world. It could not exist for an hour. Without some elements of truth and some gleams of reason, it would have nothing to recommend it to anyone or keep it alive. The greatest impostures and the deepest delusions that have ever deceived mankind, have contained truth enough to cover the errors and reason enough to disguise the fallacies by which their believers have been led astray. And it was the truth and reason they contained which enabled them to survive so long as they continued to exist.

"Mormonism" embodies many principles which "the best public opinion" accepts as correct. There is not a doctrine or precept in the New Testament, for instance, but is incorporated in "Mormonism." A prominent Chicago journal recently declared that the Mormons believe a great deal too much of Christianity. They do believe a great deal too much for modern apostate Christianity. They believe in primitive Christianity just as it first existed with all its precepts and spiritual powers, many of which "the best public opinion" has discarded. And this restoration of the ancient gospel contains so much truth and reason that the modern masters of logic and sectarian orthodoxy are not able to point out where its errors are, and therefore, like their prototypes of this age, appeal to the civil law, and some of them to military force, to put down that which they cannot reach by argument and scripture.

It would indeed be a "mystery" if this system could make such headway among the most enlightened nations of this age, and yet, for no other reason than this. The mystery is all in the name of the Chronicle and other papers with similar foolish notions. They do not understand this thing which they oppose and denounce as heresy. They never explain what it is. "Polygamy" is their continual cry, and even God they do not understand. "For 'Mormon' plural marriage is very different from that which is known as polygamy in oriental nations, and 'the best public mind' has never investigated it besides, the marriage doctrine of 'Mormonism' are but a very small part of the system. Its fundamental doctrines should be studied and the source of its power should be traced by those who wish to debate on the phenomenon of its progress or to denounce it as untrue. 'Mormonism' makes no pretensions which are sufficient to destroy it at once if it contained no truth or reason. And these are not of temporal benefits, as frequently declared by its opponents. People abroad are not induced to embrace it by offers of land, or any material advantages. They are told that if they will repent of their sins and be baptized into this faith they shall receive the Holy Ghost and its manifestations, with a direct testimony from God that the system is true and divine. In every part of the world those who obey it in faith receive the fulfillment of the promises, and that is why they leave their native lands and gather with the Latter-day Saints to Utah, where they expect to receive further truths and ordinances and spiritual blessings. 'Mormonism' succeeds like primitive Christianity, from the very same causes, and is opposed and hated for the very same reasons.

It is no "political heresy" either. It is not hostile to the government in any sense. It does not attempt to interfere with it. The "Mormon" people are citizens of the United States claim no greater rights than those of other citizens. They are united in their political faith and action, and carry out in practice that which Democrats and Republicans proclaim in theory. They choose division and vote together. It is claimed that they vote as they are directed by their Church leaders. If this were true, what difference would there be between voting as their leaders advise and members of political parties voting as their "bosses" direct? The freedom of the individual and the freedom of the body of believers are recognized and taught in "Mormonism" and there is no coercion in it, and with a strictly secret ballot the terrorism of a mob cannot be exercised over a simply an impossibility. But the "political heresy" is in the anti-"Mormon" idea and method. According to that, a leading "Mormon" has no political rights. As soon as he becomes prominent among his people he must cease to exercise the privileges of citizenship and his influence must be active and thoughtful minds. And if he attempts in a perfectly lawful and legitimate way to prevail upon others to adopt his viewpoint for persons whom he considers the right men for office, he must be deprived of force of his political power whatever it may be. It is the rankest kind of "political heresy," and the blindest kind of assumption and absurdity.

As to the foundation of our faith, the mere assertion that it is "founded in fiction and the utmost bigotry" goes for nothing. The facts do not bear out the statement. Those who are acquainted with them are likely to be better judges of their value than those who have never fairly investigated them. Every religious creed on its introduction has been denounced as fiction, and the term bigotry has always been applied to the honest conviction of persons full of zeal for their faith. The facts on which the system called "Mormonism" is founded are sustained by the very best kind of evidence and if there is any bigotry in the people who have embraced that faith, it is because of human weakness and folly, and not a result of its teachings. It accords the broadest liberty to all mankind, and uses no means of coercion upon believer or dissenter, and its doctrines concerning the future of the human race are more hopeful, charitable and godlike than anything that has been proclaimed for many centuries.

It will be, indeed, difficult to put down this religious system, whether it be heresy or not. Those who believe in it may be disfranchised, persecuted, imprisoned, slain. But "Mormonism" itself survives all attacks and will continue to prevail, flourishing upon the martyrdom of its votaries, and strengthened by the misrepresentations of its adversaries. And "the best public opinion" will some day wake up to the fact that those who have persecuted it have understood it least, and that the despised and abused system contains the world's panacea and is destined to become the universal faith and endure for ever.

It is occasionally said in relation to the Latter-day Saints that they are too exclusive in their operations. We do not believe that they are near so much so as any other people would be under similar circumstances. A clique of conspirators have been leaving no stone unturned to injure the "Mormon" community, seeking to bring them under the most despotic political bondage. These and their sympathizers and abettors include some men doing business in this locality. If it were not for the patronage of the Latter-day Saints the operations would be exceedingly limited. Thus people whose course is in every way inimical to the best interests of the community are substantially sustained by those they seek to injure.

It is a proposition that would be esteemed as consistent and sensible the world over, in reference to any people—those who seek to deprive the people of their liberties and intrigue for office to rule over them with an iron hand, should not receive the support of those they would oppress. If this proper policy were strictly adhered to, the power of the world's oppressors would be greatly curtailed. It is a protective policy, in harmony with the "first law of nature"—self-preservation, and to pursue it is an inalienable right.

Should a real crisis in local affairs arise, we do not doubt that a course of that kind would be nearly universal with the people, because the complete force of an issue would be full upon them, but a culminating point should not be awaited for the adoption of self-protection. If time were taken by the forelock it is doubtful if a crisis would be reached at all, as a wise course on the part of the people would be likely to retard the progress of the crusaders.

When a man is positively known to be the enemy of the people, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy, he has no proper claim upon their patronage. While he should be treated with courtesy, a withdrawal of active support would be quite consistent under the circumstances. If they could only fully realize the fact, the people have in the active or covert enemy,