

SIX SECTIONS IN ONE TRAIN ALONE

Westbound Travel for Twenty.
Four Hours Biggest Ever Known.

LAST DAY OF CHEAP RATES.

No more Columbia Transportation until Spring—Thousands Pending Through Salt Lake Today.

Within the next 24 hours over the Rio Grande alone. Add to this the hundreds of colonists traveling westward over the Salt Lake Route, and you have a fair idea of passenger traffic passing through Salt Lake today. Where are they all going? Is the west large and needs thrifty settlers.

ALL RECORDS FADE.

From Los Angeles comes the following, A. P. Argus:

Actions received by local railroads indicate that the traffic for today, the last day of November, during which special rates are granted to colonists, will probably exceed all records for any given 24 hours. Over 20 special trains started tonight from various eastern cities, and will arrive in Los Angeles over the Southern Pacific, Santa Fe and Salt Lake roads within a week. It is said that fully 10,000 colonists are tonight on their way to California aboard the railroads. The travel of colonists is at the peak of the season, and the records of the season will break all records.

HARRIMAN LINES SCHEME.

Want Young Men to Learn Railroading From Ground Up.

Houston, Tex., Oct. 28.—Young men with college or technical school training are wanted in the service of the Galveston, Harrisburg and San Antonio railway. Vice President Thomas F. Fay of the Harriman Lines in Texas has written to the president of the Agricultural and Mechanical college of the state, asking for the names of graduates or students who want to learn railroading from the ground up.

These students will be placed first in the accounting department, and then in the district superintendents' offices. The men will receive a good education and experience, and so on through every department necessary to a thorough education in railroading. Mr. Fay says that "influential connection should be selected solely upon their own merits, considering only their courage, intelligence and ability," and promises to let the railroads do their part in finding suitable men for the staff.

Underlying this policy is the same motto that led to the establishment of the free travelling library on the G. H. and S. A. and other Harriman lines in Texas. To provide instruction and entertainment for its section men, their families and neighbors, the library system now contains 4,000 newspapers, magazines, and books, every book through 200 distributing centers.

SANPETE. NOW MEMORY.

Old Time Line Passes Into Rio Grande System—Officers Retire.

The Sanpete Valley railroad passed out of existence last evening and this

is the first time we have ever made this offer—this beautiful 4-piece set of Silverware (guaranteed full size for family use packed in case).

**DID YOU EVER HEAR
OF AN OFFER LIKE THIS?**

Useful and Beautiful
No other firm could afford this but us.

This is the first time we have ever made this offer—this beautiful 4-piece set of Silverware (guaranteed full size for family use packed in case).

For Only 97c.

It is done solely to advertise our product and only one set will be sent to each family, with positively no duplicate orders. The plate is heavy and the pattern one of the latest and most fashionable—the famous "Rose." The pieces are

Fit to Grace any table and will last for years.

ORDER TODAY

This price includes all packing, shipping and delivery charges pre paid to your door. Send cash, money order, or 25 stamps to

Rogers Silverware Co., No. 114 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK.

Fit to Grace any table and will last for years.

Att. Gen. Breeden returned the following opinion this afternoon, relative to the qualifications of an elector, in reply to a query from Thomas L. McCarty, Esq., Green River, Utah:

I beg to acknowledge your favor of the 30th ult., seeking advise of this office relative to the requirements of the registration law of this state.

Section 89, Rev. St. of 1898 provides: "That every person applying to be registered must before he or she is entitled to have his or her name registered take and subscribe an oath setting forth his or her qualification."

Section 894 provides that when any person appears and demands to be registered whom the registry agent does not know to be an elector, to register under the qualifications required by law, for the election then ensuing, the registry agent must question the applicant generally, under oath, as to his qualifications as an elector, etc.

Section 891 provides that no person shall be permitted to vote at any general or special election without having been first registered, and that time shall be given the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

It is very clear from these sections of the law that the legislature intended to require all persons to appear before the proper registry agent and subscribe to the required oath as a condition of being registered, and that the voter and the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

But there is no provision, however, of the registration act which warrants the conclusion that it was the intention that the legal voter who has properly applied for registration, but who has been misled by the registry agent, and therefore deprived of his right to register, should be thus mislead by being deprived of his constitutional right to vote at the polls.

I am of the opinion that neither the malfeasance or misfeasance of the registry agent can operate to deprive the legal voter who has properly applied for registration in the manner required by law of his constitutional right.

That is to say, if the registration agent advised the applicant for registration that it was not necessary for such applicant to subscribe to the oath required by law, and for the reason he failed to do so and subscribed to the oath, but his name is placed upon the registry list by the registry agent, such person will be entitled to vote at the next ensuing election, provided he possesses all the legal qualifications required by law. Section 894 does not in any sense, moreover, change the provisions of Section 891. The provision 894 was intended to give the registry agent authority to interrogate and examine the applicant for registration who had signed the required oath as to his qualifications to determine whether he is really entitled to vote, or was swearing falsely as to his qualifications as an elector. Without further discussion, you are advised in accordance with the foregoing views.

Atty. Gen. Breeden returned the following opinion this afternoon, relative to the qualifications of an elector, in reply to a query from Thomas L. McCarty, Esq., Green River, Utah:

I beg to acknowledge your favor of the 30th ult., seeking advise of this office relative to the requirements of the registration law of this state.

Section 89, Rev. St. of 1898 provides: "That every person applying to be registered must before he or she is entitled to have his or her name registered take and subscribe an oath setting forth his or her qualification."

Section 894 provides that when any person appears and demands to be registered whom the registry agent does not know to be an elector, to register under the qualifications required by law, for the election then ensuing, the registry agent must question the applicant generally, under oath, as to his qualifications as an elector, etc.

Section 891 provides that no person shall be permitted to vote at any general or special election without having been first registered, and that time shall be given the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

It is very clear from these sections of the law that the legislature intended to require all persons to appear before the proper registry agent and subscribe to the required oath as a condition of being registered, and that the voter and the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

But there is no provision, however, of the registration act which warrants the conclusion that it was the intention that the legal voter who has properly applied for registration, but who has been misled by the registry agent, and therefore deprived of his right to register, should be thus mislead by being deprived of his constitutional right to vote at the polls.

I am of the opinion that neither the malfeasance or misfeasance of the registry agent can operate to deprive the legal voter who has properly applied for registration in the manner required by law of his constitutional right.

That is to say, if the registration agent advised the applicant for registration that it was not necessary for such applicant to subscribe to the oath required by law, and for the reason he failed to do so and subscribed to the oath, but his name is placed upon the registry list by the registry agent, such person will be entitled to vote at the next ensuing election, provided he possesses all the legal qualifications required by law. Section 894 does not in any sense, moreover, change the provisions of Section 891. The provision 894 was intended to give the registry agent authority to interrogate and examine the applicant for registration who had signed the required oath as to his qualifications to determine whether he is really entitled to vote, or was swearing falsely as to his qualifications as an elector. Without further discussion, you are advised in accordance with the foregoing views.

Atty. Gen. Breeden returned the following opinion this afternoon, relative to the qualifications of an elector, in reply to a query from Thomas L. McCarty, Esq., Green River, Utah:

I beg to acknowledge your favor of the 30th ult., seeking advise of this office relative to the requirements of the registration law of this state.

Section 89, Rev. St. of 1898 provides: "That every person applying to be registered must before he or she is entitled to have his or her name registered take and subscribe an oath setting forth his or her qualification."

Section 894 provides that when any person appears and demands to be registered whom the registry agent does not know to be an elector, to register under the qualifications required by law, for the election then ensuing, the registry agent must question the applicant generally, under oath, as to his qualifications as an elector, etc.

Section 891 provides that no person shall be permitted to vote at any general or special election without having been first registered, and that time shall be given the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

It is very clear from these sections of the law that the legislature intended to require all persons to appear before the proper registry agent and subscribe to the required oath as a condition of being registered, and that the voter and the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

But there is no provision, however, of the registration act which warrants the conclusion that it was the intention that the legal voter who has properly applied for registration, but who has been misled by the registry agent, and therefore deprived of his right to register, should be thus mislead by being deprived of his constitutional right to vote at the polls.

I am of the opinion that neither the malfeasance or misfeasance of the registry agent can operate to deprive the legal voter who has properly applied for registration in the manner required by law of his constitutional right.

That is to say, if the registration agent advised the applicant for registration that it was not necessary for such applicant to subscribe to the oath required by law, and for the reason he failed to do so and subscribed to the oath, but his name is placed upon the registry list by the registry agent, such person will be entitled to vote at the next ensuing election, provided he possesses all the legal qualifications required by law. Section 894 does not in any sense, moreover, change the provisions of Section 891. The provision 894 was intended to give the registry agent authority to interrogate and examine the applicant for registration who had signed the required oath as to his qualifications to determine whether he is really entitled to vote, or was swearing falsely as to his qualifications as an elector. Without further discussion, you are advised in accordance with the foregoing views.

Atty. Gen. Breeden returned the following opinion this afternoon, relative to the qualifications of an elector, in reply to a query from Thomas L. McCarty, Esq., Green River, Utah:

I beg to acknowledge your favor of the 30th ult., seeking advise of this office relative to the requirements of the registration law of this state.

Section 89, Rev. St. of 1898 provides: "That every person applying to be registered must before he or she is entitled to have his or her name registered take and subscribe an oath setting forth his or her qualification."

Section 894 provides that when any person appears and demands to be registered whom the registry agent does not know to be an elector, to register under the qualifications required by law, for the election then ensuing, the registry agent must question the applicant generally, under oath, as to his qualifications as an elector, etc.

Section 891 provides that no person shall be permitted to vote at any general or special election without having been first registered, and that time shall be given the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

It is very clear from these sections of the law that the legislature intended to require all persons to appear before the proper registry agent and subscribe to the required oath as a condition of being registered, and that the voter and the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

But there is no provision, however, of the registration act which warrants the conclusion that it was the intention that the legal voter who has properly applied for registration, but who has been misled by the registry agent, and therefore deprived of his right to register, should be thus mislead by being deprived of his constitutional right to vote at the polls.

I am of the opinion that neither the malfeasance or misfeasance of the registry agent can operate to deprive the legal voter who has properly applied for registration in the manner required by law of his constitutional right.

That is to say, if the registration agent advised the applicant for registration that it was not necessary for such applicant to subscribe to the oath required by law, and for the reason he failed to do so and subscribed to the oath, but his name is placed upon the registry list by the registry agent, such person will be entitled to vote at the next ensuing election, provided he possesses all the legal qualifications required by law. Section 894 does not in any sense, moreover, change the provisions of Section 891. The provision 894 was intended to give the registry agent authority to interrogate and examine the applicant for registration who had signed the required oath as to his qualifications to determine whether he is really entitled to vote, or was swearing falsely as to his qualifications as an elector. Without further discussion, you are advised in accordance with the foregoing views.

Atty. Gen. Breeden returned the following opinion this afternoon, relative to the qualifications of an elector, in reply to a query from Thomas L. McCarty, Esq., Green River, Utah:

I beg to acknowledge your favor of the 30th ult., seeking advise of this office relative to the requirements of the registration law of this state.

Section 89, Rev. St. of 1898 provides: "That every person applying to be registered must before he or she is entitled to have his or her name registered take and subscribe an oath setting forth his or her qualification."

Section 894 provides that when any person appears and demands to be registered whom the registry agent does not know to be an elector, to register under the qualifications required by law, for the election then ensuing, the registry agent must question the applicant generally, under oath, as to his qualifications as an elector, etc.

Section 891 provides that no person shall be permitted to vote at any general or special election without having been first registered, and that time shall be given the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

It is very clear from these sections of the law that the legislature intended to require all persons to appear before the proper registry agent and subscribe to the required oath as a condition of being registered, and that the voter and the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

But there is no provision, however, of the registration act which warrants the conclusion that it was the intention that the legal voter who has properly applied for registration, but who has been misled by the registry agent, and therefore deprived of his right to register, should be thus mislead by being deprived of his constitutional right to vote at the polls.

I am of the opinion that neither the malfeasance or misfeasance of the registry agent can operate to deprive the legal voter who has properly applied for registration in the manner required by law of his constitutional right.

That is to say, if the registration agent advised the applicant for registration that it was not necessary for such applicant to subscribe to the oath required by law, and for the reason he failed to do so and subscribed to the oath, but his name is placed upon the registry list by the registry agent, such person will be entitled to vote at the next ensuing election, provided he possesses all the legal qualifications required by law. Section 894 does not in any sense, moreover, change the provisions of Section 891. The provision 894 was intended to give the registry agent authority to interrogate and examine the applicant for registration who had signed the required oath as to his qualifications to determine whether he is really entitled to vote, or was swearing falsely as to his qualifications as an elector. Without further discussion, you are advised in accordance with the foregoing views.

Atty. Gen. Breeden returned the following opinion this afternoon, relative to the qualifications of an elector, in reply to a query from Thomas L. McCarty, Esq., Green River, Utah:

I beg to acknowledge your favor of the 30th ult., seeking advise of this office relative to the requirements of the registration law of this state.

Section 89, Rev. St. of 1898 provides: "That every person applying to be registered must before he or she is entitled to have his or her name registered take and subscribe an oath setting forth his or her qualification."

Section 894 provides that when any person appears and demands to be registered whom the registry agent does not know to be an elector, to register under the qualifications required by law, for the election then ensuing, the registry agent must question the applicant generally, under oath, as to his qualifications as an elector, etc.

Section 891 provides that no person shall be permitted to vote at any general or special election without having been first registered, and that time shall be given the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

It is very clear from these sections of the law that the legislature intended to require all persons to appear before the proper registry agent and subscribe to the required oath as a condition of being registered, and that the voter and the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

But there is no provision, however, of the registration act which warrants the conclusion that it was the intention that the legal voter who has properly applied for registration, but who has been misled by the registry agent, and therefore deprived of his right to register, should be thus mislead by being deprived of his constitutional right to vote at the polls.

I am of the opinion that neither the malfeasance or misfeasance of the registry agent can operate to deprive the legal voter who has properly applied for registration in the manner required by law of his constitutional right.

That is to say, if the registration agent advised the applicant for registration that it was not necessary for such applicant to subscribe to the oath required by law, and for the reason he failed to do so and subscribed to the oath, but his name is placed upon the registry list by the registry agent, such person will be entitled to vote at the next ensuing election, provided he possesses all the legal qualifications required by law. Section 894 does not in any sense, moreover, change the provisions of Section 891. The provision 894 was intended to give the registry agent authority to interrogate and examine the applicant for registration who had signed the required oath as to his qualifications to determine whether he is really entitled to vote, or was swearing falsely as to his qualifications as an elector. Without further discussion, you are advised in accordance with the foregoing views.

Atty. Gen. Breeden returned the following opinion this afternoon, relative to the qualifications of an elector, in reply to a query from Thomas L. McCarty, Esq., Green River, Utah:

I beg to acknowledge your favor of the 30th ult., seeking advise of this office relative to the requirements of the registration law of this state.

Section 89, Rev. St. of 1898 provides: "That every person applying to be registered must before he or she is entitled to have his or her name registered take and subscribe an oath setting forth his or her qualification."

Section 894 provides that when any person appears and demands to be registered whom the registry agent does not know to be an elector, to register under the qualifications required by law, for the election then ensuing, the registry agent must question the applicant generally, under oath, as to his qualifications as an elector, etc.

Section 891 provides that no person shall be permitted to vote at any general or special election without having been first registered, and that time shall be given the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

It is very clear from these sections of the law that the legislature intended to require all persons to appear before the proper registry agent and subscribe to the required oath as a condition of being registered, and that the voter and the voter and form required by the provisions of this chapter, etc.

But there is no provision, however, of the registration act which warrants the conclusion that it was the intention that the legal voter who has properly applied for registration, but who has been misled by the registry agent, and therefore deprived of his right to register, should be thus mislead by being deprived of his constitutional right to vote at the polls.

I am of the opinion that neither the malfeasance or misfeasance of the registry agent can operate to deprive the legal voter who has properly applied for registration in the manner required by law of his constitutional right.

That is to say, if the registration agent advised the applicant for registration that it was not necessary for such applicant to subscribe to the oath required by law, and for the reason he failed to do so and subscribed to the oath, but his name is placed upon the registry list by the registry agent, such person will be entitled to vote at the next ensuing election, provided he possesses all the legal qualifications required by law. Section 894 does not in any sense, moreover, change the provisions of Section 891. The provision 894 was intended to