

BECKHAM BEAT JUDGE PEAKE.

Senator Blackburn Made a Remarkable Speech for His Man but Lost.

GOVERNOR TOOK EXCEPTION.

He Denied Assertion That a Machine And Not the Voters Controlled Kentucky Democracy.

Louisville, Ky., June 8.—What promises to be a bitter contest for control of the state organization came up in the Democratic state convention which met here today. The result of the first struggle, the election of a temporary chairman, was a decisive victory for the administration forces, led by Gov. Beckham. Gov. Beckham was elected temporary chairman by a vote of 817 to 325 over Judge Peake of Shelby county. The fight against the administration was led by Senator Blackburn, assisted by Senator McCreary and Congressman D. H. Smith.

When the convention opened, State Chairman Alce Young made a lengthy speech in which he attacked the methods of the administration workers. At the close of Chairman Young's speech Congressman Ollie James placed Gov. Beckham in nomination for temporary chairman.

United States Senator Blackburn nominated Judge Peake. Senator Blackburn made a remarkable speech. He asserted that the Democratic party in Kentucky was falling into the grasp of a machine and out of the control of the voters. He declared that he and his associates would contest the effort of the administration men to elect Lewis McQuinn chairman of the state central committee. Passing to national affairs he spoke in favor of an unimpaired delegation. His sentiments in this respect met the approval of the convention and subsequent reference to Parker and McCreary as available candidates for the presidency provoked only moderate applause.

Concerning Mr. Bryan, the senator announced his firm belief in the Nebraska statesman's purity of motive, and that the report that Mr. Bryan would bolt the St. Louis convention if the candidate or platform should prove unsatisfactory was an infamous falsehood.

The overwhelming vote for Gov. Beckham for temporary chairman put his adherents in full control of the temporary organization.

Gov. Beckham, in his speech accepting the temporary chairmanship, announced that the full control of the party was not of his seeking. He denied Senator Blackburn's assertion that a machine and not the voters controlled the party.

The work of organizing the convention was rapidly proceeded with and the temporary organization having been completed, the convention took a recess until 8:30 o'clock.

The district meetings in each of the eleven districts were held for the purpose of naming delegates to the national convention. Only one district—the fifth—declared for Parker. Of the 20 delegates named at the district meetings, three are regarded as possible Hearst men, six are for Parker and 11 declined to express a preference.

There was a spirited debate in the committee on resolutions over the platform, five of the members of the committee demanding the reaffirmation of the Kansas City platform. In order to prevent a contest of the platform, the convention a compromise was effected by adopting the following opening clause:

"The Democratic party of Kentucky, in convention assembled, affirms and reaffirms its adherence to the fundamental principles of Democracy as expounded by Jefferson, exemplified by Jackson and ably defended by Bryan."

The course of Gov. Durbin of Indiana in "refusing to surrender on requisition from the governor of Kentucky the person of William D. Taylor and Charles Finley, fugitives from justice, charged with the murder of William Goebel," is denounced as a violation of the Constitution.

At the night session the majority report of the committee on organization was adopted, giving to the election of McQuinn as chairman of the state central committee, and Senators Blackburn and McCreary, Gov. Beckham and Congressman James as delegates at large. The adoption of this report was followed by a heated wrangle over a resolution endorsing Judge Alton R. Parker for president, introduced by Congressman Spencer Shurley of Louisville. The convention, by a vote of nearly three to one, declined to consider the resolution, all the delegates from the country districts voting not to suspend the rules to consider it.

At 1:45 the convention adjourned.

RAILROAD DEAL.

Cincinnati, Hamilton & Dayton Is To Change Hands.

New York, June 9.—Negotiations are in progress and are expected to be completed within a few days, according to the Herald, whereby control of the Cincinnati, Hamilton & Dayton railway, known as the "Natural Gas route," will change hands. The syndicate now in control of the Pere Marquette system, probably will have a powerful if not dominant voice in the affairs of the Cincinnati, Hamilton & Dayton.

Eugene Zimmerman of Cincinnati, who is one of the largest stockholders, has been in the city several days participating in preliminary looking to the passing of the control of his property. Associated with him is Henry F. Shoemaker, of this city, also a large stockholder. These two men have been in conference with representatives of the Pere Marquette line.

Incidentally it became known that the Toledo Belt railway, which was built by S. P. Fordyce Bankers have received propositions to buy \$2,500,000 of bonds on this property, the bonds to be jointly guaranteed by the Cincinnati, Hamilton & Dayton, and the Pere Marquette.

Sale Ten Million Boxes a Year.

The BEST HOT WEATHER MEDICINE

CANDY CATHARTIC

THEY WORK WHILE YOU SLEEP

PREVENT ALL SUMMER BOWEL TROUBLES

Drudgery

And Worry Sap Woman's Nervous Vitality.

There is nothing more nerve wrecking than household duties and the care of children. The drudgery, worry and petty annoyance of the day, and broken rest at night soon exhausts her vitality and she becomes nervous, irritable and restless. If allowed to continue, it ends in Sick, Nervous Headaches, Stomach, Liver and Kidney troubles, and those terrible Periodical Bearing-down pains, which come from nervous exhaustion.

Dr. Miles' Restorative Nervine is a never-failing remedy for such cases. It builds up and soothes the nerves, and brings the sweet, restful sleep, so much needed.

No other medicine is so pleasant or so curative in every case of female weakness, nervousness or general worn-out condition.

Try it at our risk.

Cost refunded if first bottle fails to benefit you.

"I had been sick several years with indigestion and nervous prostration, which kept me almost constantly under a physician's care, and while I had some temporary relief, I was very weak and helpless, unable to bathe or dress, and unable to sleep at night, and an appetite for food. Continuing the treatment, I rapidly improved, and now I am well and able to do all my work."

MRS. M. J. OLDS, Clarkston, Mich.

FREE Write us and we will mail you a Free Trial Package of Dr. Miles' Restorative Nervine, the New, Scientifically Formulated, and Proven Cure for all Nervous and Stomach Troubles. Write to Dr. Miles Medical Co., Laboratories, Elkhart, Ind.

At Toledo the two big systems will connect, and the acquisition of the Toledo belt line is considered a valuable factor.

Officials of the Cincinnati, Hamilton & Dayton, while admitting that a change in the control of their property was about to be made, refused to confirm the report that the Pere Marquette would absorb it. The deal is likely to be closed this week.

The Zimmerman road runs through a rich manufacturing center of Ohio and Indiana in the natural gas fields of those states. It operates more than 650 miles of line and has a capital of \$16,000,000, with \$15,000,000 of bonded indebtedness in addition.

Could Not Commit Suicide.

Chicago, June 9.—An alarm clock has given the signal for Nora Collovay, a 26-year-old working girl, to jump into the lake in an effort to end a life of misery.

The girl had set the alarm for the exact moment which she thought Allan Johnson, whom she loved, was to marry another girl.

Taking the clock with her she walked out to the pier and waited. She had written a farewell note to her sister and was prepared to die. Finally the alarm sounded and the young woman leaped into the water. But try as she would she could not sink. Her flaring skirts buoyed her up and her attempts to get her head under were vain. Her splashing was heard by two men, who procured a boat and rescued her, despite her resistance.

After Miss Collovay was taken from the water she learned that the alarm clock was two weeks behind time. For her sweetheart was married a fortnight ago.

Mines in Taiwan Bay.

Tokio, June 9 (T. A. M.).—Official reports show that the first section of Taiwan bay has been completely cleared of mines. Sixty-two have been found and exploded by the force under Admiral Kato. Two Russian ships were found under water about 1,300 yards west of Sanhan island, one of which is believed to be the cruiser Boyarin. Other sunken vessels have also been found southwest of the same island.

To Investigate Etzel's Death.

Tientsin, June 9.—Viceroy Yuan Shai Kai has sent the taotai Lu to New-chwang to investigate the death of Lewis Etzel, correspondent of the London Daily Telegraph, who was shot recently by Chinese Imperial soldiers. It is now reported that he was foully dealt with.

ASPARAGUS CULTURE.

Asparagus plants can be easily grown from the seed in one or two years' time. If you are in no hurry this is the best plan, but of course, if you wish to get a bed started in a short time, it is better to buy the plants of some seedsmen. You can thus save a year or two in time. Plant the seed very early in the spring, the earlier the better. In rows, four apart. As the seed is slow to sprout, it is a good plan to mix in a few radish seed with the asparagus seed. The radish will come up first and you can tend and keep the weeds down while you are waiting for the asparagus to come up.

The little asparagus plants are very small at first and you should look carefully in weeding or you will pull them up. As soon as the asparagus shows take out the radishes or they will crowd it out.

Early in the spring take up the plants and set them where they are wanted. First put the bed in the best condition. Spade it up very deep and work it in. It is a good plan to work in a lot of well rotted manure to make the soil loose and rich. A liberal amount of manure is good, but this is not important. Better choose the place that will be most convenient and fix ground to suit. You can't make it too good.

Mark out the rows from two to four feet apart and set the plants two or three inches deep. The rows should be four inches deep just about like you would set potatoes. Hoe or cultivate just as suits you best. In the fall cover all over with manure deep enough so that weeds cannot come up through it. If any weeds show through in the spring put on more manure. Keep this up every season and you will have no more weeds on the bed. The asparagus can be cut the second season and from then on it will be a permanent affair.

See any good seed nursery catalogue for varieties.

DR. VINCENT'S CLOSING LECTURE

His Treatment of "The Mind of The Mob" Much Appreciated By a Brilliant Audience.

MANY STRIKING ILLUSTRATIONS

Crowd Psychology as Seen in Politics, Missionary Meetings and Other Avenues of Life.

Dr. George E. Vincent, professor of sociology in the University of Chicago, concluded last evening his series of lectures before the teachers of the summer school and left for home on the noon train today. Barrett hall was well filled with a brilliant audience, and the greatest interest was maintained throughout the lecture. The points and they were many—made by the doctor were certainly appreciated, and he could easily see that his efforts were as seed sown in good ground.

Prof. William M. Stewart, in introducing the speaker, expressed regrets that he was so soon to leave, and the latter cordially thanked his audience for the heartiness of his reception in Salt Lake, and congratulated the people on their having, in the State Normal school, one of the leading educational institutions in the United States.

The theme of the evening's discourse was "The Mind of the Mob" and the talented speaker said in part: "Of the making of Ologies there seems to be no end; new departments of science are constantly being formed, and it is to one of these departments of scientific knowledge that I desire to call your attention this evening. It is called, the mind of the mob—mob or crowd psychology, as its students term it, and its features I wish to explain. Most of a state and civilized business man, attends a ball match between the club of his village and that of a neighboring community. As the game progresses he seems to become more and more excited, and his excitement, he becomes the victim of the forces playing about him as well as the playing on the field, and shouts and conducts himself in an extraordinary manner. Now, what has happened to Mr. Brown? asks the psychologist, to make him so different from what he is in his own home?"

AS IT IS IN POLITICS.

The lecturer then cited another instance, that of a political meeting, detailing in a very witty manner, yet full of caustic comment, the cut and dried methods by which such meetings are conducted. The call is got up to begin with, so as to excite public interest. The hall is decorated with a view to further arousing public emotion, especially in the way of the impressive portraits of Washington, Lincoln, Grant and other great men. Then three men appear, from the wings, in dignified form, and immediately there are spontaneous bursts of applause, already provided for. Then the temporary chairman takes his seat, and refers feelingly to the splendid expression of sentiment in his honor. The applause may last 30 seconds, it is referred to in the daily papers as lasting four or five minutes, while the chair waits for "silence to be restored."

In his speech, the "silver-tongued orator" is delivered of a peroration in which the American eagle swings through the ether from Canada to Mexico, and from the islands of the Pacific to the coasts of Maine, and finally lands off some of the islands of the Pacific. The speaker then proceeds to the traditional silver-tongued orator is called now-a-days—sits down amid rousing cheers, all prepared for foreboding in the shape of groups in the audience, who are already provided for. Then the temporary chairman takes his seat, and refers feelingly to the splendid expression of sentiment in his honor. The applause may last 30 seconds, it is referred to in the daily papers as lasting four or five minutes, while the chair waits for "silence to be restored."

Another instance was cited of a missionary meeting at Old Orchard beach in 1895, gathered to hear a missionary. Two hundred people are there as he dilates, expatiates on experiences in heathen lands, often of the most thrilling character; and as he pictures the scenes and incidents of his life, the audience is aroused. Presently the contribution box is passed around and what do you think is the harvest? Why, nothing less than \$10,000—in money, in watches, in land, in kind, and in pledges. These are events to be carefully studied with a view to explaining their varied phenomena, quoth the psychologist.

AT PIANO RECITALS.

Behold a piano recital in St. Louis. There are 2,000 women there, all in emotional, feminine expectancy. A door at the side of the stage opens, a chrysanthemum head of hair appears, and the pianist, a light figure beneath, sits down at the piano, and thrills of excitement run through that audience. Then, as he progresses, there are long drawn out sighs, and other manifestations of the most profound sympathy. The first number on the program is received with prolonged applause. The artist is encored, and encored again, and after the fourth number, he is called back, until he can do no more than retreat to the stage and bow, and presently hope of further playing is cut off by the appearance of men on the stage who carry the piano off bodily. Here says the psychologist, is a unity of mind and action on the part of the crowd that is something to be studied and its phenomena explained.

THE CRUSADES OF THE MIDDLE AGES were a remarkable instance of the result of unity of thought on the part of the public that is in the same category—like other mental epidemics—utterly without rational basis or foundation. There were other manias in medieval Europe, as the dancing mania, the elf-flaunting mania, and noticeably in the fourteenth century, the mania of witchcraft. Why, in one year, 5,000 so-called witches were put to death, and there was a modified manifestation of this style of outbreak in Salem, Mass. In old Colonial times, in Canada, the Indians had a fanatic sect, started about the year 1600, who met the Savior, as they claimed, and believed by special appointment, disposing of all their property, as they considered they must have to occasion further for its use. It was necessary for the state to corral these misguided people before they perished and to herd them into cars like so many cattle, and forcibly return them to their homes. In medieval times there was no such apparatus or means by which to bring people to their senses.

EFFECT OF PICTURES.

Then there are financial panics of all kinds. In a Pennsylvania town a melodrama was brought in for local exhibition, and on the bill board posters

was depicted a burglar robbing a bank safe. That picture had such an effect on the local mind, that it resulted in a run on the city banks and thousands of dollars were withdrawn from deposit, as the depositors were awed by some almost intangible influence, after that bill board display, to feel that the home banks were in danger.

In Holland there was a tulip craze which developed into such proportions that \$40,000 was spent for 12 bulbs alone. But as the outcome, that country is now one of the foremost in the world in horticulture in general and tulips in particular. All such events are claimed by the mob psychologists as deserving of special scientific scrutiny and study. There was a Jumbo craze in London when Mr. Barnum brought over the great elephant Jumbo from the London Zoo. It had "crept out," undoubtedly at Mr. Barnum's suggestion, that the great showman had come over there to buy Shakespeare's house at Stratford-on-Avon, and attach it to his three ringed circus show in the United States. Of course, Mr. Barnum "strenuously denied" any such intention, and mentioned, incidentally, of course, that he had intentions of buying Jumbo instead. This started a 19 days Jumbo craze in London, during which a minister actually preached on the elephant, and 1,500 packages of all conceivable kinds including sweetmeats, candy, cakes, etc., were sent to the animal ere he departed, and some people even sent him written notes, as though they expected the elephant could read them. Now how could the public be so wrought up and act in so remarkable a manner? This is what the psychologist would like to reason out.

MASS MEETING.

A mass meeting is held to protest against corruption and wrong in public places and offices. "Ringing resolutions" are prepared beforehand, and a series of spellbinding speakers is arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable oratorical cap shear. Would it do to introduce those "ringing resolutions" at the outset of the meeting? A hit or miss thing, and the formality of the opening, there would be no enthusiasm at all. On the contrary, many in the audience would be capotously critical and cautious. The hard headed citizen would see objections. Why all this speed? Would it not be better to assure ourselves as to the facts before proceeding? Would it not be the part of wisdom to be cautious? So the spellbinding speakers are arranged for, and an article in order that the final speaker shall be the veritable or