

COHN BROS.

OUR STOCK FOR THE SPRING AND SUMMER SEASON IS NOW
gained in every branch, and Ladies in search of Genuine Har-
their interests in them to examine our Remarkable Stock and take ad-
vantage of the Extraordinary Inducements now offered in our several
departments.

OUR SILK STOCK

Never was so large, and never contained so many beautiful Patterns.
We certainly maintain our well-earned reputation. We have nothing on our counters
but the most desirable styles, and what this market requires.

OUR WHITE GOODS STOCK

Is larger and better than ever, containing the very best makes of
NAPKINS, TOWELS,
PIQUES and
CLOTHS, DRESS
Rheumatism, Neuralgia, Sciatica,
Lumbago, Rücken, Headache, Toothache,
Sore Throat, Swelling, Sprains, Bruises,
AND ALL OTHER BODY PAINS AND ACHES
caused by Druggists and Dentists. Every article
is sold by Druggists and Dentists.

JACOBS OIL



THE GREAT GERMAN REMEDY FOR PAIN.

CURES
Rheumatism, Neuralgia, Sciatica,
Lumbago, Rücken, Headache, Toothache,
Sore Throat, Swelling, Sprains, Bruises,
AND ALL OTHER BODY PAINS AND ACHES
caused by Druggists and Dentists.

Sold by Druggists and Dentists.

CHARLES A. WHEELER CO.
Manufacturers to the Trade.

EVENING NEWS.

Tuesday, May 13, 1884.

THE MURRAY INVESTIGATION.

TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SPRINGFIELD
COMMITTEE.

REPRESENTATIVE WHITE'S APPENDIX.

[CONTINUED.]

Q. Can you recall whether you brought up these charges or his attention are not?

A. No; I can not remember. It appears to me that I have a faint recollection of his mixing it in some way.

Q. During your time of service, did you make examination into the accounts of other marshals in other States?

A. Yes.

Q. What State?

A. In the western district of Virginia.

Q. Did you file a report of those investigations?

A. I do not remember whether I did or not. I did, if I am there. I never went about my duty. I would go to the district and would get the witnesses, and would have a statement made and bring it into the office. Then I would have a report of my investigation in Virginia, I should say, but I am not certain.

Q. Was it not your practice to make written reports of your investigations?

A. No; sir; it was not my practice to make written reports.

Q. You gathered all the memoranda you could and brought the matter verbally to the attention of the Attorney General?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. What was it the result of your examinations in the western district of Virginia?

A. The marshal and the clerk of the court resigned.

Q. My name is—let's not remember his first name.

Q. What is the name of the clerk of the court?

A. I do not remember.

M. Ryan what year was this?

A. About 1871.

The Chairman. What place was that court held?

A. At Danville and at Hanesburg.

A. At Danville.

Q. What were the nature of the charges made against him?

A. It was similar to the Kentucky business. That there would be trumped up charges against citizens all the time that part of the country for the most part, distinguished men who would be brought down to Danville before a clerk, who was a commissioner, and there was collusion all around of the district attorney and the sheriff. Gray had been marauded. Gray had been marauded.

The district attorney defended him, which only way left to him to reimburse the postmaster was to adopt his act in the making of the arrest, and to charge the same to the same which he would have been cleared if the warrant had been in the hands of Hackett when he made the arrest.

Q. Did he charge the Government he name fees?

A. He amended the account so, as to charge only \$20.00. He did that after before I saw him.

Q. Did you see Hackett?

A. No, sir.

Q. You did not take Hackett's evidence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you take the evidence of any of these men?

A. No, sir; there appear to be the evidences of Brent and some other person who appeared before the commissioner, and whose name was in the case.

Q. Were they charged for constructive mileage?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were they charged \$2 for the attorney of bailiffs before the commissioner; what specific cause charge is there which General Murray made which you now recollect?

A. The McCord case.

Q. What were the facts?

A. I think about eight years, the district attorney defended him, which only way left to him to reimburse the postmaster was to adopt his act in the making of the arrest, and to charge the same to the same which he would have been cleared if the warrant had been in the hands of Hackett when he made the arrest.

Q. Did he charge the Government he name fees?

A. He amended the account so, as to charge only \$20.00. He did that after before I saw him.

Q. Did you see Hackett?

A. No, sir.

Q. You did not take Hackett's evidence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you take the evidence of any of these men?

A. No, sir; there appear to be the evidences of Brent and some other person who appeared before the commissioner, and whose name was in the case.

Q. Were they charged for constructive mileage?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were they charged \$2 for the attorney of bailiffs before the commissioner; what specific cause charge is there which General Murray made which you now recollect?

A. The McCord case.

Q. What were the facts?

A. I think about eight years, the district attorney defended him, which only way left to him to reimburse the postmaster was to adopt his act in the making of the arrest, and to charge the same to the same which he would have been cleared if the warrant had been in the hands of Hackett when he made the arrest.

Q. Did he charge the Government he name fees?

A. He amended the account so, as to charge only \$20.00. He did that after before I saw him.

Q. Did you see Hackett?

A. No, sir.

Q. You did not take Hackett's evidence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you take the evidence of any of these men?

A. No, sir; there appear to be the evidences of Brent and some other person who appeared before the commissioner, and whose name was in the case.

Q. Were they charged for constructive mileage?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were they charged \$2 for the attorney of bailiffs before the commissioner; what specific cause charge is there which General Murray made which you now recollect?

A. The McCord case.

Q. What were the facts?

A. I think about eight years, the district attorney defended him, which only way left to him to reimburse the postmaster was to adopt his act in the making of the arrest, and to charge the same to the same which he would have been cleared if the warrant had been in the hands of Hackett when he made the arrest.

Q. Did he charge the Government he name fees?

A. He amended the account so, as to charge only \$20.00. He did that after before I saw him.

Q. Did you see Hackett?

A. No, sir.

Q. You did not take Hackett's evidence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you take the evidence of any of these men?

A. No, sir; there appear to be the evidences of Brent and some other person who appeared before the commissioner, and whose name was in the case.

Q. Were they charged for constructive mileage?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were they charged \$2 for the attorney of bailiffs before the commissioner; what specific cause charge is there which General Murray made which you now recollect?

A. The McCord case.

Q. What were the facts?

A. I think about eight years, the district attorney defended him, which only way left to him to reimburse the postmaster was to adopt his act in the making of the arrest, and to charge the same to the same which he would have been cleared if the warrant had been in the hands of Hackett when he made the arrest.

Q. Did he charge the Government he name fees?

A. He amended the account so, as to charge only \$20.00. He did that after before I saw him.

Q. Did you see Hackett?

A. No, sir.

Q. You did not take Hackett's evidence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you take the evidence of any of these men?

A. No, sir; there appear to be the evidences of Brent and some other person who appeared before the commissioner, and whose name was in the case.

Q. Were they charged for constructive mileage?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were they charged \$2 for the attorney of bailiffs before the commissioner; what specific cause charge is there which General Murray made which you now recollect?

A. The McCord case.

Q. What were the facts?

A. I think about eight years, the district attorney defended him, which only way left to him to reimburse the postmaster was to adopt his act in the making of the arrest, and to charge the same to the same which he would have been cleared if the warrant had been in the hands of Hackett when he made the arrest.

Q. Did he charge the Government he name fees?

A. He amended the account so, as to charge only \$20.00. He did that after before I saw him.

Q. Did you see Hackett?

A. No, sir.

Q. You did not take Hackett's evidence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you take the evidence of any of these men?

A. No, sir; there appear to be the evidences of Brent and some other person who appeared before the commissioner, and whose name was in the case.

Q. Were they charged for constructive mileage?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were they charged \$2 for the attorney of bailiffs before the commissioner; what specific cause charge is there which General Murray made which you now recollect?

A. The McCord case.

Q. What were the facts?

A. I think about eight years, the district attorney defended him, which only way left to him to reimburse the postmaster was to adopt his act in the making of the arrest, and to charge the same to the same which he would have been cleared if the warrant had been in the hands of Hackett when he made the arrest.

Q. Did he charge the Government he name fees?

A. He amended the account so, as to charge only \$20.00. He did that after before I saw him.

Q. Did you see Hackett?

A. No, sir.

Q. You did not take Hackett's evidence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you take the evidence of any of these men?

A. No, sir; there appear to be the evidences of Brent and some other person who appeared before the commissioner, and whose name was in the case.

Q. Were they charged for constructive mileage?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were they charged \$2 for the attorney of bailiffs before the commissioner; what specific cause charge is there which General Murray made which you now recollect?

A. The McCord case.

Q. What were the facts?

A. I think about eight years, the district attorney defended him, which only way left to him to reimburse the postmaster was to adopt his act in the making of the arrest, and to charge the same to the same which he would have been cleared if the warrant had been in the hands of Hackett when he made the arrest.

Q. Did he charge the Government he name fees?

A. He amended the account so, as to charge only \$20.00. He did that after before I saw him.

Q. Did you see Hackett?

A. No, sir.

Q. You did not take Hackett's evidence?

A. No, sir.

Q. Did you take the evidence of any of these men?

A. No, sir; there appear to be the evidences of Brent and some other person who appeared before the commissioner, and whose name was in the case.

Q. Were they charged for constructive mileage?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were they charged \$2 for the attorney of bailiffs before